arXiv:math/0108087v1 [math.QA] 13 Aug 2001

Structure of Contact Lie Algebras Related to Locally-Finite Derivations[1]

Yucai Su* and Xiaoping Xu†

* Department of Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, 1954 Huashan Road, Shanghai 200030, P. R. China.

†Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, P. R. China[2]

Abstract

Classical contact Lie algebras are the fundamental algebraic structures on the manifolds of contact elements of configuration spaces in classical mechanics. In this paper, we determine the structure of the currently largest known category of contact simple Lie algebras introduced earlier by the second author. These algebras are in general not finitely-graded.

1 Introduction

A *contact element* to an n-dimensional smooth manifold at some point is an $(n-1)$-dimensional hyperplane tangent to the manifold at the point. The set of all contact elements of an n-dimensional manifold has a natural smooth manifold structure of dimension $2n-1$. Classical contact Lie algebras are the fundamental algebraic structures on the manifolds of contact elements of configuration spaces in classical mechanics (cf. [A]). Moreover, some contact Lie algebras are generated by certain quadratic conformal algebras (cf. [X2]). The representations theory of the Lie algebras generated by conformal algebras can be viewed as the algebraic entity of two-dimensional quantum field theory (cf. [K3]).

A *Poisson algebra* is a vector space $\mathcal{A}$ with two algebraic operations $\cdot$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ such that $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$ forms a commutative associative algebra, $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ forms a Lie algebra and the following compatibility condition holds:

$$[u,v\cdot w]=[u,v]\cdot w+v\cdot[u,w] \qquad \text{for } u,v,w\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{1.1}$$

---

[1] 1991 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 17B65; Secondary 58F05

[2] Research supported by Hong Kong RGC Competitive Earmarked Research Grant HKUST6133/00p

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers. A *(generalized) contact Lie algebra* is a Lie algebra structure on a commutative associative algebra $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$ whose Lie bracket is of the form

$$[u,v]=[u,v]_0+(2-\partial)(u)\partial_0(v)-\partial_0(u)(2-\partial)(v)\qquad \text{for }\ u,v\in\mathcal{A}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $(\mathcal{A},\cdot,[\cdot,\cdot]_0)$ forms a Poisson algebra, ∂ and ∂_0 are mutually commutative derivations of $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$ such that

$$\partial([u,v]_0)=[\partial(u),v]_0+[u,\partial(v)]_0-2[u,v]_0,\ \ \partial_0([u,v]_0)=[\partial_0(u),v]_0+[u,\partial_0(v)]_0 \tag{1.3}$$

for $u,v\in\mathcal{A}$. For a contact Lie algebra structure on a commutative associative algebra $(\mathcal{A},\cdot)$, we define

$$P_u(v,w)=[u,v\cdot w]-[u,v]\cdot w-v\cdot[u,w]\qquad \text{for }\ u,v,w\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then the following equation holds:

$$P_{u\cdot v}(w_1,w_2)=u\cdot P_v(w_1,w_2)+v\cdot P_u(w_1,w_2)\qquad \text{for }\ u,v,w_1,w_2\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{1.5}$$

The supersymmetric version of the above equation is the main axiom of weak Frobenius manifold (cf. [HM]). Frobenius manifold was introduced by Dubrovin [D] , in connection with topological field theories. In this paper, we determine the isomorphism classes of the currently largest known category of contact simple Lie algebras introduced by the second author [X1].

A Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is called *finitely-graded* if $\mathcal{G}=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Gamma}\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ is a Γ-graded vector space for some abelian group Γ such that

$$\dim\,\mathcal{G}_\alpha<\infty,\ \ [\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\mathcal{G}_\beta]\subset\mathcal{G}_{\alpha+\beta}\qquad \text{for }\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma. \tag{1.6}$$

Finitely-graded contact simple Lie algebras have been studied by Kac [K1], [K2], Osborn [O], and Osborn and Zhao [OZ]. The most important feature of the contact simple Lie algebras constructed in [X1] is that they are in general not finitely-graded. Below, we shall give a more technical introduction.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{F}$ a field with characteristic 0. All the vector spaces (algebras) are assumed over $\mathbb{F}$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the additive semi-group of nonnegative integers. When the context is clear, we shall omit the symbol for associative algebraic operation in a product. For $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, we shall use the following notation of indices

$$\overline{m,n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\{m,m+1,...,n\} & \text{if } m\leq n\\ \emptyset & \text{if } m>n.\end{array}\right. \tag{1.7}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{F}[t_1,t_2,...,t_n]$ be the algebra of polynomials in n variables. Recall that a *derivation* ∂ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear transformation of $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\partial(uv)=\partial(u)v+u\partial(v)\qquad\text{for }\ u,v\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{1.8}$$

The typical derivations are $\{\partial_{t_1},\partial_{t_2},...,\partial_{t_n}\}$, the operators of taking partial derivatives. The space Der $\mathcal{A}$ of all the derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ forms a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator. Identifying the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ with their corresponding multiplication operators, we have

$$\mathrm{Der}\,\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{A}\partial_{t_i}, \tag{1.9}$$

which forms a simple Lie algebra. The Lie algebra Der $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *Witt algebra of rank* n, usually denoted as $\mathcal{W}(n,\mathbb{F})$. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(n,\mathbb{F})$ acts on the Grassmann algebra $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of differential forms on $\mathcal{A}$ as follows.

$$\partial(df)=d(\partial(f)),\ \ \partial(\omega\wedge\nu)=\partial(\omega)\wedge\nu+\omega\wedge\partial(\nu) \tag{1.10}$$

for $f\in\mathcal{A},\ \omega,\nu\in\hat{\mathcal{A}},\ \partial\in\mathcal{W}(n,\mathbb{F})$. Assume that $n=2k+1$ is an odd integer. We let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F}) &= \{\partial\in\mathcal{W}(n,\mathbb{F})\mid \partial(dt_{2k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(t_idt_{k+i}-t_{k+i}dt_i)\\ &\qquad\in\mathcal{A}(dt_{2k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(t_idt_{k+i}-t_{k+i}dt_i)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

The subspace $\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F})$ forms a simple Lie subalgebra , which is called a *classical contact Lie algebra.*

To see that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket of the form (1.2), we set

$$\epsilon(i)=1,\ \ \epsilon(j)=-1,\ \ \bar{i}=k+i,\ \ \bar{j}=j-k\qquad\text{for }\ i\in\overline{1,k},\ j\in\overline{k+1,2k}. \tag{1.12}$$

Moreover, we define

$$D_K(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{2k}(t_i\partial_{t_n}(f)+\epsilon(\bar{i})\partial_{t_{\bar{i}}}(f))\partial_{t_i}+(2f-\sum_{i=1}^{2k}t_{\bar{i}}(\epsilon(\bar{i})t_i\partial_{t_n}(f)+\partial_{t_{\bar{i}}}(f)))\partial_{t_n} \tag{1.13}$$

for $f\in\mathcal{A}$. Then the map $D_K:f\to D_k(f)$ is a linear isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F})$ (e.g., cf. [SF]). Furthermore, we define

$$[f,g]_0=\sum_{i=1}^{2k}\epsilon(i)\partial_{t_i}(f)\partial_{t_{\bar{i}}}(g)\qquad\text{for }\ f,g\in\mathcal{A} \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} t_i\partial_{t_i}, \quad \partial_0 = \partial_{t_n}. \tag{1.15}$$

It can be verified that

$$[D_K(f), D_K(g)] = D_K([f,g]_0 + (2-\partial)(f)\partial_0(g) - \partial_0(f)(2-\partial)(g)) \tag{1.16}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ (e.g., cf. [SF]). Thus the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F})$ is essentially of the form (1.2).

Define the grading

$$(\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F}))_m = \text{Span}\,\{D_K(t_1^{\ell_1}t_2^{\ell_2}\cdots t_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_i \in \mathbb{N},\ 2\ell_n + \sum_{i=1}^{2k}\ell_i = m+2\} \tag{1.17}$$

for $-2 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{K}(2k+1,\mathbb{F})$ is a finitely-graded Lie algebra.

The contact Lie algebras constructed in [X1] are as follows. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative associative algebra with an identity element and let $\{\partial_0, \partial_1, ..., \partial_{2k}\}$ be $2k+1$ mutually commutative derivations of $\mathcal{A}$, where k is a positive integer. Pick any elements

$$\{\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_k\} \subset \mathcal{A} \tag{1.18}$$

such that

$$\partial_i(\xi_0) = \partial_{k+i}(\xi_0) = \partial_0(\xi_j) = \partial_i(\xi_j) = \partial_{k+i}(\xi_j) = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

for $i, j \in \overline{1,k},\ i \neq j$. We define an algebraic operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$[u,v]_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i(\partial_i(u)\partial_{k+i}(v) - \partial_{k+i}(u)\partial_i(v)) \qquad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{1.20}$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, [\cdot,\cdot]_0)$ forms a Poisson algebra. Take a derivation ∂ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the first equation in (1.3) holds and

$$\partial\partial_0 = \partial_0\partial, \quad \partial(\xi_0) = 0. \tag{1.21}$$

The Lie bracket of the contact Lie algebra in [X1] is defined as

$$[u,v] = [u,v]_0 + \xi_0[(2-\partial)(u)\partial_0(v) - \partial_0(u)(2-\partial)(v)] \qquad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.22}$$

which is of the form (1.2) if we replace $\xi_0\partial_0$ by ∂_0.

A linear transformation T on a vector space V is called *locally-finite* if

$$\dim(\text{Span}\,\{T^m(u) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}) < \infty \qquad \text{for } u \in V. \tag{1.23}$$

In [X1], the second author proved that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with Lie bracket (1.22) is simple when $\mathcal{A}$ is a certain semigroup algebra, ξ_i for $i \in \overline{0,k}$ are invertible, ∂_j for $j \in \overline{0,2k}$ are locally-finite, ∂ is diagonalizable and some other distinguishable conditions among $\{\partial, \partial_j \mid j \in \overline{0,2k}\}$ hold. We refer to [SXZ] for the classification of derivation-simple algebras when the derivations are locally-finite. The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is in general not finitely-graded. The contact simple Lie algebras studied in [K1], [O] and [OZ] are special finitely-graded cases of those in [X1]

In Section 2, we shall rewrite the presentations of contact simple Lie algebras given in [X1] up to certain relatively obvious isomorphisms, which we call *normalized forms*. In Section 3, we shall present five lemmas that will be used in the proof of the main theorem on the isomorphism classes of the normalized contact simple Lie algebras. Section 4 is devoted to the presentation of the main theorem and its proof.

2 Normalized form of contact Lie algebras

In this section, we shall present the normalized form of contact simple Lie algebras introduced in [X1].

Let

$$\vec{\ell} = (\ell_1, ..., \ell_6) \in \mathbb{N}^6 \text{ such that } \sum_{p=1}^{6} \ell_p > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Denote

$$\iota_i = \ell_1 + \ell_2 + ... + \ell_i \qquad \text{for } \ i = 1, 2, ..., 6, \tag{2.2}$$

and define 6 index sets

$$I_i = \overline{\iota_{i-1} + 1, \iota_i} \qquad \text{for } \ i = 1, 2, ..., 6, \tag{2.3}$$

where we treat $\iota_{-1} = 0$. Denote by $I_{i,j}$ the union from the ith index set to the jth index:

$$I_{i,j} = \bigcup_{i \le p \le j} I_p = \overline{\iota_{i-1} + 1, \iota_j} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le j \le 6. \tag{2.4}$$

Set

$$I = I_{1,6} = \overline{1, \iota_6}, \qquad J = \overline{1, 2\iota_6}. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, we denote

$$\widehat{K} = \{0\} \cup K \qquad \text{for } \ K \subset J. \tag{2.6}$$

Define the map $^{-}\colon J \to J$ to be the index shifting of ι_6 steps in J, i.e.,

$$\overline{p} = \begin{cases} p + \iota_6 & \text{if } \ p \in \overline{1, \iota_6}, \\ p - \iota_6 & \text{if } \ p \in \overline{\iota_6 + 1, 2\iota_6}. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

We also define $\overline{0} = 0$ for convenience. For any subset K of $\widehat{J}$, we denote

$$\overline{K} = \{\overline{p} \,|\, p \in K\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus $J = I \cup \overline{I}$. Set

$$J_i = I_i \cup \overline{I}_i, \quad J_{i,j} = I_{i,j} \cup \overline{I}_{i,j}. \tag{2.9}$$

An element of the vector space $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ is denoted as

$$\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_{\overline{1}}, ..., \alpha_{\iota_6}, \alpha_{\overline{\iota}_6}) \ \text{ with } \ \alpha_p \in \mathbb{F} \ \text{ for all } \ p \in \widehat{J}. \tag{2.10}$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ and $K \subset J$, we denote by $\alpha_{_K}$ the vector obtained from α with support K, i.e.,

$$\alpha_{_K} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_{\overline{1}}, ..., \beta_{\iota_6}, \beta_{\overline{\iota}_6}) \ \text{ with } \ \beta_p = 0 \ \text{ if } \ p \notin K \ \text{ and } \ \beta_p = \alpha_p \ \text{ if } \ p \in K. \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, we denote

$$a_{[p]} = (0, ..., 0, \overset{p}{a}, 0, ..., 0) \qquad \text{for } \ a \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{2.12}$$

When the context is clear, we also use the notation α_K to denote the element in $\mathbb{F}^{|K|}$ obtained from the element α in $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ by deleting the coordinates at $p \in \overline{0, 2\iota_6} \setminus K$; for instance, $\alpha_{\{1,2\}} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Take

$$\sigma_p = \sigma_{\overline{p}} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } 1_{[0]} & \text{if } \ p = 0, \\ -1_{[p]} - 1_{[\overline{p}]} & \text{if } \ p \in I_{1,3}, \\ -1_{[p]} & \text{if } \ p \in I_{4,5}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ p \in I_6. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Let Γ be an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ such that

$$\Gamma \subset \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6} \,|\, \alpha_{_{I_6 \cup \overline{I}_{4,6}}} = 0\}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\{\sigma_p \,|\, p \in \widehat{I}\} \subset \Gamma \ \text{ and } \ \mathbb{F}1_{[p]} \cap \Gamma \neq \{0\} \ \text{ for } \ p \in J_{1,3}, \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\mathbb{F}1_{[0]} \cap \Gamma \neq \{0\} \text{ if } \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}. \tag{2.16}$$

Moreover, we define

$$\Gamma_p = \{\alpha_p \mid (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_{\overline{1}}, ..., \alpha_{\iota_6}) \in \Gamma\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Take

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\} \text{ or } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \mathcal{J}_0 + \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{\,2\iota_6} \,|\, \mathbf{i}_{I_{1,2}\cup I_4 \cup \overline{I}_1} = 0\}, \tag{2.19}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_1) \subset \mathbb{N}^{1+2\ell_6}, \tag{2.20}$$

an additive subsemigroup. An element of $\mathcal{J}$ is denoted as

$$\vec{i} = (i_0, \mathbf{i}) = (i_0)_{[0]} + \mathbf{i}, \text{ with } i_0 \in \mathcal{J}_0, \mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{J}_1. \tag{2.21}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times \mathcal{J}]$ with a basis $\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}\}$ and the multiplication $\cdot$ defined by

$$x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}} = x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \ \text{ for } \ (\alpha, \vec{i}), (\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}. \tag{2.22}$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ forms a commutative associative algebra with $1 = x^{0,0}$ as the identity element. For convenience, we often denote

$$x^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha,0}, \ \ t^{\vec{i}} = x^{0,\vec{i}}, \ \ t_p = t^{1_{[p]}} \qquad \text{for } \ \alpha \in \Gamma, \ \vec{i} \in \mathcal{J}, \ p \in \widehat{J}. \tag{2.23}$$

In particular,

$$t^{\vec{i}} = \prod_{p \in \widehat{J}} t_p^{i_p}. \tag{2.24}$$

Define the derivations $\{\partial_p, \partial_p^*, \partial_{t_p} \,|\, p \in \widehat{J}\}$ by

$$\partial_p = \partial_p^* + \partial_{t_p} \ \text{ and } \ \partial_p^*(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = \alpha_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, \ \ \partial_{t_p}(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[p]}}, \tag{2.25}$$

for $p \in \widehat{J}, (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}$, where we adopt the convention that if a notion is not defined but technically appears in an expression, we always treat it as zero; for instance, $x^{\alpha,-1_{[1]}} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In particular,

$$\partial_p^* = 0, \ \ \partial_{t_q} = 0 \ \text{ for } \ p \in I_6 \cup \overline{I}_{4,6}, \ q \in I_{1,2} \cup I_4 \cup \overline{I}_1, \tag{2.26}$$

by (2.14) and (2.19). We call the nonzero derivations ∂_p^* *grading operators*, the nonzero derivations ∂_{t_q} *down-grading operators*, and the derivations $\partial_r^* + \partial_{t_r}$ *mixed operators* if both ∂_r^* and ∂_{t_r} are not zero. The types of derivation pairs in the order of the groups $\{(\partial_p, \partial_{\bar{p}}) \mid p \in I_i\}$ for $i \in \overline{1,6}$ are

$$(g, g), \ (g, m), \ (m, m), \ (g, d), \ (m, d), \ (d, d), \tag{2.27}$$

where “m” stands for mixed operators, “g” stands for grading operators and “d” stands for down-grading operators.

We denote

$$\partial=\sum_{p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}}\partial^*+\sum_{p\in I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6}}t_p\partial_{t_p}. \tag{2.28}$$

Define the following Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on $\mathcal{A}$:

$$[u,v]=\sum_{p\in I}x^{\sigma_p}(\partial_p(u)\partial_{\overline{p}}(v)-\partial_{\overline{p}}(u)\partial_p(v))+x^{\sigma_0}((2-\partial)(u)\partial_0(v)-\partial_0(u)(2-\partial)(v)), \tag{2.29}$$

for $u,v\in\mathcal{A}$ (cf. (2.7)). Then $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ forms a contact Lie algebra, which is in general not finitely-graded. The algebras $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ are the normalized forms of the contact Lie algebras constructed in [X1], which were proved to be simple if $(\Gamma_0.0,...,0)\subset\Gamma$ (cf. (2.17)).

We denote the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot])$ by

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J}),\ \text{where } \sigma=\sum_{p\in\widehat{I}_{1,5}}\sigma_p. \tag{2.30}$$

3 Lemmas

In this section, we shall present five lemmas as the preparation of the proof of the main Theorem.

By (2.14), (2.18), (2.19), (2.25), (2.26) and (2.28), we give a more detailed formula of (2.29):

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]\\
=&\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}}(\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}+\sum_{p\in I_{2,5}}(\alpha_pj_{\overline{p}}-i_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}}\\
&+\sum_{p\in I_3}(i_p\beta_{\overline{p}}-j_p\alpha_{\overline{p}})x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[p]}}+\sum_{p\in I_3\cup I_{5,6}}(i_pj_{\overline{p}}-i_{\overline{p}}j_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[p]}-1_{[\overline{p}]}}\\
&+((2-\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}))\beta_0-\alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})))x^{\sigma_0+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}}\\
&+((2-\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i}))j_0-i_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})))x^{\sigma_0+\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[0]}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\vec{J}$, where

$$\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})=\sum_{p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}}\alpha_p+\sum_{p\in I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6}}i_p\qquad\text{for } (\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here is our first lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J})$ of contact type with $\sigma_0=1_{[0]}$, there exists a Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma',\Gamma',\mathcal{J})$ with $\sigma'_0=0$ such that $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J})\cong\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma',\Gamma',\mathcal{J})$.*

Proof. Define

$$\Gamma'=\{\alpha+((\vartheta(\alpha,0)+n(1-\delta_{\mathcal{J},\{0\}}))/2)\sigma_0\,|\,\alpha\in\Gamma,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}\},\ \sigma'_0=0,\ \sigma'_p=\sigma_p,\ p\in I. \tag{3.3}$$

Then we have another Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma',\Gamma',\mathcal{J})$ of contact type. Define a bijective map $\tau:\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}\to\Gamma'\times\mathcal{J}'$ such that

$$\tau(\alpha,\vec{i})=(\alpha+(\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})/2-1)\sigma_0,\vec{i})\in\Gamma'\times\mathcal{J}'\text{ for }(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}, \tag{3.4}$$

and define a linear map $\theta:\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J})\to\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma',\Gamma',\mathcal{J})$ such that

$$\theta(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})=x^{\tau(\alpha,\vec{i})}\text{ for }(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then $\vartheta(\tau(\alpha,\vec{i}))=\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})$, and by (3.1), it is straightforward to verify that $\theta([x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}])=[\theta([x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}),\theta(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})]$ for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}$, i.e., θ is an isomorphism. □

The above Lemma tells us that it suffices to consider the contact Lie algebras of the form $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J})$ with $\sigma_0=0$. In the rest of the paper, we always take

$$\sigma_0=0. \tag{3.6}$$

For any Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, the *adjoint operator* ad_u of an element $u\in\mathcal{L}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{ad}_u(v)=[u,v]\qquad\text{for }\ v\in\mathcal{L}. \tag{3.7}$$

The element u is called *ad-locally-finite* if ad_u is locally-finite (cf. (1.23)). Moreover, it is called *ad-locally nilpotent* if for any $v\in\mathcal{L}$, there exists an integer n (depending on v) such that

$$(\mathrm{ad}_u)^n(v)=0. \tag{3.8}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{F}}$ the set of *ad*-locally-finite elements in $\mathcal{L}$ and by $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{N}}$ the set of *ad*-locally-nilpotent elements in $\mathcal{L}$. Furthermore, we write

$$A_0=\{1-\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}},\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}t_0,x^{-\sigma_p},x^{-\sigma_q,1_{[\overline{q}]}},t^{1_{[r]}+1_{[\overline{r}]}}\,|\,p\in I_{1,3},q\in I_{4,5},r\in I_6\}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$A_1=\{\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}},x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\,|\,\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})=2,\alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}=\vec{i}_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}\cup\overline{I}_{4,5}}=0,i_pi_{\overline{p}}=0,\forall\,p\in I_6\}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$A_2=\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\,|\,\alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}=\vec{i}_{J_{1,3}\cup\overline{I}_{4,5}}=0,(1-\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})-2)=0,i_0\le\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}\}. \tag{3.11}$$

For any $\vec{i}\in\mathscr{J}$, we define the *level* of $\vec{i}$ to be

$$|\vec{i}|=\sum_{p\in\widehat{J}} i_p. \tag{3.12}$$

For any $(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathscr{J}$, we define the *support* of $(\alpha,\vec{i})$ to be

$$\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})=\{p\in\widehat{J}\,|\,\alpha_p\neq 0 \text{ or } i_p\neq 0\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.2. *(1) $A_0\cup A_1\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}\subset Span(A_0\cup A_2)$. (2) $A_1\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{N}}\subset Span(A_2)$.*

Proof. Note that by (3.1), we have

$$[1,x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]=2\beta_0x^{\beta,\vec{j}}+2j_0x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[0]}}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$[t_0,x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]=(2j_0-(2-\vartheta(\beta,\vec{j})))x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \text{ if } \Gamma_0=\{0\}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$[x^{-\sigma_p},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]=\begin{cases}(\beta_{\overline{p}}-\beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} & \text{for } p\in I_1,\\ (\beta_{\overline{p}}-\beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}}+j_{\overline{p}}x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} & \text{for } p\in I_2,\\ (\beta_{\overline{p}}-\beta_p)x^{\beta,\vec{j}}-j_px^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[p]}}+j_{\overline{p}}x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[\overline{p}]}} & \text{for } p\in I_3,\end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

$$[x^{-\sigma_q,1_{[\overline{q}]}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]=\begin{cases}(-\beta_q+j_{\overline{q}})x^{\beta,\vec{j}} & \text{for } q\in I_4,\\ (-\beta_q+j_{\overline{q}})x^{\beta,\vec{j}}-j_qx^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[q]}} & \text{for } q\in I_5,\end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

$$[t^{1_{[r]}+1_{[\overline{r}]}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]=(j_{\overline{r}}-j_r)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \text{ for } r\in I_6. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus $A_0\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}$. If $\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}=1$, using (3.14) and (3.15), we see that $\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{N}}\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}, t_0\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Suppose $\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}\neq x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\in A_1$. Then $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})\subset I_{4,6}\cup\overline{I}_6$. Moreover, $\overline{p}\notin\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})$ if $p\in\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})$. Let $x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\in\mathcal{A}$. By (3.1), we see that $[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]$ is either zero or a linear combination of the elements $x^{\gamma,\vec{k}}$ such that there exists at least a $p\in(I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6})\backslash\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})$ with $k_p<j_p$. Thus if we set $m=1+\sum_{p\in(I_6\cup\overline{I}_{4,6})\backslash\mathrm{supp}(\alpha,\vec{i})}j_p$, then $\mathrm{ad}^m_{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})=0$. This proves $A_1\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{N}}\subset\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}$.

Suppose $u\notin\mathrm{Span}(A_0\cup A_2)$. Write

$$u=\sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M_0}c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$M_0=\{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathscr{J}\,|\,c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\neq 0\} \tag{3.20}$$

is a finite set. First assume that $(1-\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha,\vec{i})-2)=0$ for all $(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M_0$. Then there exist $(\gamma,\vec{k})\in M_0$ and $p\in\mathrm{supp}(\gamma,\vec{k})\backslash J_6$ or $\overline{p}\in\mathrm{supp}(\gamma,\vec{k})\backslash J_6$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&p=0 \quad\text{and}\,(\gamma,\vec{k})\neq(0,0)\text{ and either }\gamma_0\neq 0\text{ or }k_0\geq\delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}}+1,\text{ or}\\ &p\in I_{1,3}\,\text{and}\,(\gamma,\vec{k})\neq(-\sigma_p,0)\text{ and }(\gamma_p,\gamma_{\overline{p}},k_p,k_{\overline{p}})\neq 0,\qquad\text{or}\\ &p\in I_{4,5}\,\text{and}\,(\gamma,\vec{k})\neq(-\sigma_p,1_{[\overline{p}]})\text{ and }k_{\overline{p}}\neq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Choose a total order on Γ compatible with group structure of Γ and define the total order on $\Gamma \times \mathscr{J}$ by the lexicographical order, such that the maximal element $(\gamma, \vec{k})$ of M_0 satisfies (3.21) for some $p \in \operatorname{supp}(\gamma, \vec{k}) \backslash J_6$, and that $\sigma_p > \sigma_q$ for all $q \neq p$. This is possible because the set of all nonzero σ_q is $\mathbb{F}$-linearly independent. Say, $p \in I_{1,3}$ and $(\gamma_p, \gamma_{\overline{p}}) \neq 0$ (the proof for other p is similar). Choose $\beta = a_{[\overline{p}]} \in \Gamma \backslash \{0\}$ (cf. (2.15)) such that $\gamma_p a + m(\gamma_{\overline{p}} - \gamma_p) \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the "highest" term of $\mathrm{ad}_u^n(x^\beta)$ is $x^{\beta+n\gamma+n\sigma_p, n\vec{k}}$ with the coefficient

$$\prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (\gamma_p(\beta_{\overline{p}} + m\gamma_{\overline{p}} - m) - \gamma_{\overline{p}}(m\gamma_p - m)) = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (\gamma_p \beta_{\overline{p}} + m(\gamma_{\overline{p}} - \gamma_p)) \neq 0, \tag{3.22}$$

which implies

$$\dim(\mathrm{Span}\{\mathrm{ad}_u^n(x^\beta) \,|\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \infty. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus $u \notin \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Next assume that there exists $(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in M_0$ such that $(1 - \delta_{\Gamma_0, \{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) - 2) \neq 0$. Then $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $\vartheta(\alpha, \vec{i}) \neq 2$. By making use of the last 4 terms of (3.1), we can prove $u \notin \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}$ as above. This proves $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathrm{Span}(A_0 \cup A_2)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{N}} \subset \mathrm{Span}(A_2)$. $\square$

For any subset $S \subset \mathcal{A}$, we define

$$E(S) = \{u \in \mathcal{A} \mid [S, u] \subset \mathbb{F}u\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Define a map $\pi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}^{1+\iota_5}$ by

$$\pi(\alpha) = (\mu_0, \mu_1, ..., \mu_{\iota_5}) \tag{3.25}$$

with

$$\mu_p = \begin{cases} 2\alpha_0 & \text{if } p = 0, \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \\ \vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 2 & \text{if } p = 0, \Gamma_0 = \{0\}, \\ \alpha_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_p & \text{if } p \in I_{1,3}, \\ -\alpha_p & \text{if } p \in I_{4,5}, \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

(cf. (3.14)-(3.18)). For $\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)$, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \,|\, \pi(\alpha) = \mu\}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha \,|\, \alpha \in \Gamma\}. \tag{3.28}$$

Then we have

Lemma 3.3.

$$E(\mathcal{A}^F) = \bigcup_{\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}_\mu \;\; \textit{(thus } \mathcal{B} = \textit{Span}\,(E(\mathcal{A}^F))\,\textit{)}. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. We want to prove

$$E(A_0 \cup A_1) \subset \bigcup_{\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}_\mu \subset E(\mathrm{Span}(A_0 \cup A_1)). \tag{3.30}$$

For any $\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)$, elements in $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ are common eigenvectors of ad_{A_0} by (3.9), (3.14)-(3.18) and (3.26)-(3.28), and ad_{A_1} acts trivially on $\mathcal{B}_\mu$. Since elements in A_0 commute with each other, elements in $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ are common eigenvectors of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{Span}(A_0 \cup A_1)}$. Thus $\cup_{\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}_\mu \subset E(\mathrm{Span}(A_0 \cup A_1))$. Suppose that $u = \sum c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \in \mathcal{A}$ is a common eigenvector of $A_0 \cup A_1$. Since ad_{A_1} is locally nilpotent, ad_{A_1} acts trivially on u. If $(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in M_0$ with $i_{\overline{p}} \neq 0$ for some $p \in I_{4,5} \cup J_6$, then we can choose $v = x^{2\sigma_p} \in A_1$ if $p \in I_{4,5}$ or $v = t^{2_{[p]}} \in A_1$ if $p \in J_6$ such that $[v, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] \neq 0$ and so $[v, u] \neq 0$. So we must have $\vec{i}_{\overline{I}_{4,5} \cup J_6} = 0$ if $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0$. Similarly, since u is a common eigenvector of ad_{A_1}, we must have by (3.14)-(3.18) that $\vec{i}_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_5} = 0$ (thus $\vec{i} = 0$) and $\pi(\alpha) = \mu$ for some μ if $c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0$. This shows that $u \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$. Hence (3.30) is proved. Using Lemma 3.2(1), we have

$$E(A_0 \cup A_1) \supset E(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{F}}) \supset E(\mathrm{Span}(A_0 \cup A_1)). \tag{3.31}$$

This and (3.30) show that all these sets are equal, i.e., we have (3.29). $\Box$

Lemma 3.4. *Denote*

$$\mathcal{B}_F = \{x^{-\sigma_p}, x^\alpha \mid p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}, (1 - \delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 2) = 0, \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}\}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_N = \{x^\alpha \mid (1 - \delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 2) = 0, \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}\}. \tag{3.33}$$

Then $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{F}} = \mathit{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}})$, $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{N}} = \mathit{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{N}})$.

Proof. We shall prove that $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{F}} = \mathrm{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}})$ as the proof that $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{N}} = \mathrm{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{N}})$ is similar. It is straightforward to verify that elements in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}}$ are *ad*-locally finite on $\mathcal{B}$ and that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is commutative. Thus $\mathrm{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}}) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Conversely, suppose $u \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathrm{Span}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{F}})$. Then we can write u as in (3.19), where now

$$M_0 = \{(\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}_{\widehat{J}_{1,5}} \mid \vec{i} = 0, c_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0\} \tag{3.34}$$

is finite. Thus we still have (3.21), and the same arguments after (3.21) show that u is not *ad*-locally finite on $\mathcal{B}$. $\Box$

We also have that the center of $\mathcal{B}$ is

$$C(\mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha \mid (1 - \delta_{\Gamma_0,\{0\}})(\vartheta(\alpha, 0) - 2) = 0, \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}} \in \Gamma\} \tag{3.35}$$

by (3.1) and (3.28).

Lemma 3.5. *(1) Assume that* $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$*. For* $\mu \in \pi(\Gamma)$*, regarding* $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ *as a* $\mathcal{B}_0$*-module, we have (i) if* $\mu_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} = 0$*, the action of* $\mathcal{B}_0$ *on* $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ *is trivial and (ii) if* $\mu_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} \neq 0$*,* $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ *is a cyclic* $\mathcal{B}_0$*-module, and the nonzero scalar multiples of* x^α *for all* $\alpha \in \Gamma$ *with* $\pi(\alpha) = \mu$ *are the only generators.*

(2) Assume that $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$ *and* $\iota_3 \neq 0$*. We have (i) if* $\mu_{I_{1,3}} = 0$*, the action of* $\mathcal{B}_0$ *on* $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ *is trivial and (ii) if* $\mu_{I_{1,3}} \neq 0$*,* $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ *is a cyclic* $\mathcal{B}_0$*-module, and the nonzero scalar multiple of* x^α *for all* $\alpha \in \Gamma$ *with* $\pi(\alpha) = \mu$ *are the only generators.*

(3) Assume that $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}, \iota_3 = 0$ *and* $\ell_4 + \ell_5 \neq 0$*. Then* $(\cup_{\alpha\in\Gamma}\mathbb{F}x^\alpha)\backslash\{0\}$ *is the set of the common eigenvectors of* $\mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}$ *in* $\mathcal{B}$*.*

Proof. Note that in $\mathcal{B}$, we have

$$[x^\alpha, x^\beta] = \sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} (\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta} + ((2-\vartheta(\alpha,0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,0)))x^{\alpha+\beta} \quad (3.36)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ by (3.1).

(1) Assume that $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$. One can easily verify that

$$\pi(\sigma_p + \alpha + \beta) = \pi(\alpha) + \pi(\beta) \quad \text{for} \quad p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3} \quad (3.37)$$

by (3.25)-(3.26). From (3.36) we see that x^α commutes with x^β if $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ and $(\pi(\beta))_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} = 0$ (cf. (3.25)-(3.26)). Thus if $\mu_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} = 0$, then the adjoint action of $\mathcal{B}_0$ on $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ is trivial. Assume that $u = \sum_{\beta\in M_0} c_\beta x^\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ with $\mu_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} \neq 0$, where $M_0 = \{\beta \in \Gamma \,|\, \pi(\beta) = \mu, c_\beta \neq 0\}$. By (3.36), one can verify that

$$[x^\alpha, u] = (\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} \alpha_p\mu_p x^{\sigma_p+\alpha} + (1 - \vartheta(\alpha,0)/2)\mu_0 x^\alpha)u \text{ if } \pi(\alpha) = 0, \quad (3.38)$$

where by (3.25)-(3.26), the coefficient $(1 - \vartheta(\alpha,0)/2)\mu_0$ comes from

$$(2-\vartheta(\alpha,0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,0)) = (2-\vartheta(\alpha,0))\beta_0 = (1-\vartheta(\alpha,0)/2)\mu_0. \quad (3.39)$$

Thus

$$U = \mathrm{Span}\{x^{\sigma_p+\alpha}u = \sum_{\beta\in M_0} c_\beta x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta} \,|\, \alpha \in \ker_\pi, p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}\} \quad (3.40)$$

is a $\mathcal{B}_0$-submodule of $\mathcal{B}_\mu$.

Let $\langle u\rangle$ denote the cyclic submodule of $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ generated by u. Then $\langle u\rangle \subset U$. If the size $|M_0|$ of M_0 is ≥ 2, then U is a proper submodule of $\mathcal{B}_\mu$, and so u is not a generator. Suppose M_0 is a singleton $\{\beta\}$ with $\pi(\beta) = \mu$. Say $\mu_0 \neq 0$ (the proof is similar if $\mu_p \neq 0$ for some $p \in I_{1,3}$). If $\iota_3 = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ is 1-dimensional and we see that the proof is trivial. Assume that $\iota_3 > 0$. For $p \in I_{1,3}, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, take $\gamma_k = k\sigma_p$. Then by (3.25)-(3.26), $\gamma_k \in \ker_\pi$. By (3.36), we have

$$[x^{\gamma_k}, x^\beta] = -k\mu_p x^{\beta+(k+1)\sigma_p} + (1+k)\mu_0 x^{\beta+k\sigma_p} \in \langle u\rangle, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [x^{\gamma_{m-k}}, [x^{\gamma_k}, x^\beta]] \\ = \; & -k\mu_p(-(m-k)\mu_p x^{\beta+(m+2)\sigma_p} + (1+m-k)\mu_0 x^{\beta+(m+1)\sigma_p}) \\ & +(1+k)\mu_0(-(m-k)\mu_p x^{\beta+(m+1)\sigma_p} + (1+m-k)\mu_0 x^{\beta+m\sigma_p}) \in \langle u\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

From (3.41) and (3.42), one deduces that

$$x^{\beta+m\sigma_p} \in \langle u\rangle \qquad \text{for} \ \ m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.43}$$

For $\alpha \in \ker_\pi$, we see that $\alpha - (k+1)\sigma_p \in \ker_\pi$, and by (3.36),

$$\begin{aligned} & [x^{\alpha-k\sigma_p}, x^{\beta+k\sigma_p}] \\ = \; & \sum_{q\in I_{1,3}} (\alpha_q + k\delta_{p,q})\mu_q x^{\sigma_q+\alpha+\beta} + (1-k-\vartheta(\alpha,0)/2)\mu_0 x^{\alpha+\beta} \in \langle u\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Comparing the coefficient of k, we obtain

$$u_p = \mu_p x^{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta} - \mu_0 x^{\alpha+\beta} \in \langle u\rangle \qquad \text{for} \ \ p \in I_{1,3}. \tag{3.45}$$

Note that since $\pi(\alpha) = 0$, (3.2) and (3.25)-(3.26) imply

$$\vartheta(\alpha,0) = \sum_{p\in J_{1,3}\cup I_{4,5}} \alpha_p = 2\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} \alpha_p, \tag{3.46}$$

By (3.45), the right-hand side of (3.44) becomes

$$\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} u_p + \mu_0 x^{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{3.47}$$

This shows that $x^{\alpha+\beta} \in \langle u\rangle$ for all $\alpha \in \ker_\pi$. Since $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ is spanned by such elements, u is a generator of $\mathcal{B}_\mu$.

The proof of (2) is similar. (3) is obvious by (3.29). $\square$

4 Main Theorem

In this section, we shall present the main theorem on isomorphism classes of the contact Lie algebras.

We assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed in this section. Denote by $M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{F})$ the space of $m\times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$ and by $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ the group of $m\times m$ invertible matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$. Define

$$G_p=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1-a & 1-a-b \\ a & a+b \end{array}\right) \mid a,b\in\mathbb{F},\ b\neq 0\right\} \qquad \text{for } \ p\in I_1\cup I_3, \tag{4.1}$$

$$G_q=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1-b \\ 0 & b \end{array}\right) \mid 0\neq b\in\mathbb{F}\right\} \qquad \text{for } \ q\in I_2, \tag{4.2}$$

and denote

$$G=\{\mathrm{diag}(b_0,g_1,...,g_{\iota_3})\in GL_{1+2\iota_3}(\mathbb{F}) \mid 0\neq b_0\in\mathbb{F},\ g_i\in G_i\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Define H to be the set of $(1+2\iota_3)\times(\ell_4+\ell_5)$ matrices of the form

$$h=\left(\begin{array}{c} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right), \ \text{where } h_0\in M_{1\times(\ell_4+\ell_5)}(\mathbb{F}),\ h_1\in M_{2\ell_1\times(\ell_4+\ell_5)}(\mathbb{F}) \text{ and} \tag{4.4}$$

$$h_0=0 \text{ if } J_0=\mathbb{N} \text{ and } h_1=(h_{p,q}) \text{ with } h_{2p,q}=-h_{2p-1,q} \text{ for } p\in I_1. \tag{4.5}$$

Define F to be the group of invertible matrices of the form

$$f=\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{array}\right), \ \text{where } A\in GL_{\ell_4}(\mathbb{F}),\ B\in GL_{\ell_5}(\mathbb{F}),\ C\in M_{\ell_4\times\ell_5}(\mathbb{F}). \tag{4.6}$$

Define a subgroup of $GL_{1+2\iota_6}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}''=GL_{1+2\iota_6} \text{ if } \Gamma_0=\{0\}, \text{ or otherwise} \\ &\mathcal{G}''=\{(a_{p,q})\in GL_{1+2\iota_6}(\mathbb{F}) \mid \sum_{q=2}^{2\iota_6+1} a_{1,q}=0,\ \sum_{q=1}^{2\iota_6+1} a_{p,q}=1, a_{p,1}=0 \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{for } p\in\overline{2,2\iota_6+1}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Denote by $\mathbf{1}_n$ the $n\times n$ identity matrix. Now we define the group

$$\mathcal{G}'=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc} g & h & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\ell_4+\ell_5+2\ell_6} \end{array}\right)\in\mathcal{G}'' \mid g\in G,\ h\in H,\ f\in F\right\}. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\widehat{I}}$ be the permutation group on the index set $\widehat{I}$ (cf. (2.5) and (2.6)). Define the subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}=\{\nu\in\mathcal{S}_{\widehat{I}} \mid \nu(\widehat{I}_1)=\widehat{I}_1,\ \nu(I_2)=I_2,\ \nu(I_3)=I_3,\ \nu|_{I_{4,6}}=\mathrm{Id}_{I_{4,6}} \text{ and} \\ \nu(0)=0 \text{ if } J_0=\mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

For $\nu\in\mathcal{S}, \alpha\in\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$, we define

$$\nu(\alpha)=\left\{\begin{array}{l} (\alpha_0,\alpha_{\nu(1)},\alpha_{\overline{\nu(1)}},...,\alpha_{\nu(\iota_6)},\alpha_{\overline{\nu(\iota_6)}}) \text{ if } \nu(0)=0, \\ \nu'(\alpha) \text{ otherwise,} \end{array}\right. \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\nu' \in \mathcal{S} \text{ such that } \nu'(0) = 0,\ \nu'(\nu^{-1}(0)) = \nu(0),\ \nu'|_{I\setminus\{\nu^{-1}(0)\}} = \nu|_{I\setminus\{\nu^{-1}(0)\}}. \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover, for $g' \in \mathcal{G}'$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{S}$, we define the group automorphism g'_ν of $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ by

$$\nu(g'_\nu(\alpha)) = (\alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}, \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, \alpha_{\overline{I}_{4,5}\cup J_6})g' \text{ if } \nu(0) = 0, \text{ and} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(g'_\nu(\alpha)) \;=\; & (\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_p), \alpha_1, \alpha_{\overline{1}}, ..., \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{\overline{p-1}}, -\frac{1}{2}\vartheta(\alpha, 0) - \alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2}\vartheta(\alpha, 0) + \alpha_0,\\ & \alpha_{p+1}, \alpha_{\overline{p+1}}, ..., \alpha_{\iota_3}, \alpha_{\overline{\iota}_3}, \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, \alpha_{\overline{I}_{4,5}\cup J_6})g' \text{ if } p = \nu^{-1}(0) \in I_1,\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ and the multiplication in the above is the vector-matrix multiplication. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g'_\nu \mid g' \in \mathcal{G}',\ \nu \in \mathcal{S}\}. \tag{4.14}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a subgroup of additive automorphism of $\mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell'}, \sigma', \Gamma', \mathcal{J}')$ be another Lie algebra defined in Section 2. We shall add a prime on all the constructional ingredients related to $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell'}, \sigma', \Gamma', \mathcal{J}')$ except that we use the same symbol x; for instance, $\mathcal{A}'$, ℓ'_i, ι'_i, etc.

Theorem 4.1. *The two Lie algebras* $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell}, \sigma, \Gamma, \mathcal{J})$ *and* $\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell'}, \sigma', \Gamma', \mathcal{J}')$ *are isomorphic if and only if* $(\vec{\ell}, \mathcal{J}) = (\vec{\ell'}, \mathcal{J}')$ *and there exists* $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$ *such that* $\Gamma' = \tau(\Gamma)$.

Proof. "$\Longleftarrow$" First we prove the sufficiency. Assume that $(\vec{\ell}, \mathcal{J}) = (\vec{\ell'}, \mathcal{J}')$ and there exist a group isomorphism $\tau = g'_\nu \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\Gamma' = \tau(\Gamma)$. We shall define an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell}, \sigma, \Gamma, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell'}, \sigma', \Gamma', \mathcal{J}')$. To do this, we shall first find the images of some elements (see (4.30)-(4.32)). Denote

$$P = \mathrm{diag}(2, (\begin{smallmatrix}-1\\1\end{smallmatrix}), ..., (\begin{smallmatrix}-1\\1\end{smallmatrix})) \in M_{(1+2\iota_3)\times(1+\iota_3)}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$P' = \mathrm{diag}(P, -\mathbf{1}_{\ell_4+\ell_5}) \in M_{(1+\iota_3+\iota_5)\times(1+\iota_5)}. \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.8),

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} g & h & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\ell_4+\ell_5+2\ell_6} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } g = \mathrm{diag}(b_0, g_1, ..., g_{\iota_3}) \text{ with} \tag{4.17}$$

$$g_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_p & 1 - a_p - b_p \\ a_p & a_p + b_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - b_p \\ 0 & b_p \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.18}$$

for $p \in I_1 \cup I_3,\ q \in I_2$, and h is of the form (4.4) and f is of the form (4.6). We shall take $b_0 = 1$ if $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$ without loss of generality. For convenience, we write g' as $g' = (g'_{p,q})$ where $p, q \in \widehat{J}$. Denote

$$c_p = \sum_{q\in\widehat{J}} g'_{p,q} \quad \text{for } p \in \widehat{J}. \tag{4.19}$$

Note that if $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$, we have $c_p = 1$ for $p \in J$. In general, we have

$$c_p - 1 = -(c_{\overline{p}} - 1) \quad \text{for} \ \ p \in I_{1,3}. \tag{4.20}$$

Denote

$$D = (0, -c_1 + 1, -c_2 + 1, ..., -c_{\iota_5} + 1)^T \in M_{(1+\iota_5)\times 1}, \tag{4.21}$$

where the up-index "T" means the transpose of the matrix. By (4.4) and (4.5), we can write h as the form

$$h = Ph' \qquad \text{for some} \ \ h' \in M_{(1+\iota_3)\times(\ell_4+\ell_5)} \tag{4.22}$$

(cf. (4.15)). Also we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} P' = P'\widetilde{g}' \ \text{for some} \ \widetilde{g}' \in GL_{1+\iota_5} \tag{4.23}$$

(cf. (4.16)). Denote

$$\widetilde{g} = \mathrm{diag}(\widetilde{g}_1, ..., \widetilde{g}_{\iota_3}), \ \text{where} \ \widetilde{g}_p = b_p g_p^{-1}. \tag{4.24}$$

For convenience, we denote

$$\overline{t} = (t_0, -t_{\overline{1}}, t_1, -t_{\overline{2}}, t_2, ..., -t_{\overline{\iota}_6}, t_{\iota_6}). \tag{4.25}$$

For a subset K of $\widehat{J}$, we denote by $\overline{t}_{_K}$ the vector obtained from $\overline{t}$ by deleting $-t_{\overline{p}}, t_q$ for $\overline{p}, q \in J \setminus K$. For instance,

$$\overline{t}_{\{\overline{1},\overline{2},2\}} = (-t_{\overline{1}}, -t_{\overline{2}}, t_2). \tag{4.26}$$

Denote the vectors

$$x^{-\sigma} = (1, x^{-\sigma_1}, ..., x^{-\sigma_{\iota_3}}, x^{-\sigma_{\iota_3+1}, 1_{[\overline{\iota_3+1}]}}, ..., x^{-\sigma_{\iota_5}, 1_{[\overline{\iota}_5]}}) \tag{4.27}$$

(cf. (3.6)) and

$$\varsigma = (t_0, t_1, t_{\overline{1}}, ..., t_{\iota_3}, t_{\overline{\iota}_3}, t_{\iota_3+1}, x^{-\sigma_{\iota_3+1}, 1_{[\overline{\iota_3+1}]}}, ..., t_{\iota_5}, x^{-\sigma_{\iota_5}, 1_{[\overline{\iota}_5]}}, t_{\iota_5+1}, t_{\overline{\iota_5+1}}, ..., t_{\iota_6}, t_{\overline{\iota}_6}). \tag{4.28}$$

Case a: First assume that $\nu(0) = 0$. Note that (4.12) guarantees

$$\tau(\sigma_p) = \sigma_{\nu(p)} \ \ \text{for} \ \ p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}. \tag{4.29}$$

We shall find the image of ζ. To do this, in $\mathcal{A}'$, we define

$$s_0 = b_0 t'_0 + \nu(x^{-\sigma})\widetilde{D}, \ \overline{s}_{J_{1,3}} = \nu(\overline{t}'_{J_{1,3}})\widetilde{g} + E, \tag{4.30}$$

$$s_{\overline{I}_{4,5}} = (x^{-\sigma'})_{I_{4,5}} f^{-1} + \nu((x^{-\sigma'})_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}})\widetilde{h}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$s_{I_4\cup I_6}=t'_{I_4\cup I_6},\ \ s_{I_5}=t'_{I_5}B^T,\ \ s_{\overline{I}_6}=b_0t'_{\overline{I}_6}, \tag{4.32}$$

(cf. (4.10)), where

$$\widetilde{D}=(\widetilde{g}')^{-1}D,\ \widetilde{h}=-\mathrm{diag}(b_0,b_1,...,b_{\iota_3})^{-1}h'f^{-1}, \tag{4.33}$$

(cf. (4.22) and (4.23)) and where E will be determined later in (4.56). We shall define s to be the image of ζ. Expressions (4.30)-(4.32) are motivated from (4.43).

Let

$$\Delta_0=\sum_{p=1}^{\iota_5}\mathbb{Z}\sigma_p \tag{4.34}$$

be the subgroup of Γ generated by $\{\sigma_i\mid i\in\overline{1,\iota_5}\}$ (cf. (2.15)). Define $\chi:\Delta_0\to\mathbb{F}^\times$ to be the homomorphism from additive group to the multiplication group of nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}$ determined by

$$\chi(\sigma_p)=b_0^{-1}b_p,\ \chi(\sigma_q)=b_0^{-1}\ \ \text{for}\ \ p\in I_{1,3},\ q\in I_{4,5}. \tag{4.35}$$

We want to prove that χ can be extended to a homomorphism $\chi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}^\times$. Suppose that Δ is a maximal subgroup of Γ containing Δ_0 such that χ can be extended to a homomorphism $\chi:\Delta\to\mathbb{F}^\times$. Assume $\Delta\neq\Gamma$. We take an element $\alpha\in\Gamma\setminus\Delta$. Set

$$\Delta'=\mathbb{Z}\alpha+\Delta. \tag{4.36}$$

If $\mathbb{Z}\alpha\cap\Delta=\{0\}$, then we extend χ by

$$\chi(m\alpha+\beta)=\chi(\beta)\qquad\text{for}\ \ m\in\mathbb{Z},\ \beta\in\Delta. \tag{4.37}$$

If $\mathbb{Z}\alpha\cap\Delta=\mathbb{Z}n\alpha$, we take an nth root a of $\chi(n\alpha)$ (recall that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed) and extend χ by

$$\chi(m\alpha+\beta)=a^m\chi(\beta)\qquad\text{for}\ \ m\in\mathbb{Z},\ \beta\in\Delta. \tag{4.38}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\chi:\Delta'\to\mathbb{F}^\times$ is a group homomorphism. This leads to a contradiction with the maximality of Δ. So χ can be extended to a homomorphism $\chi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}^\times$. Take any such extension.

Noting that $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}=x^{\alpha'}\varsigma^{\vec{i}}$, where $\alpha'_p=\alpha_p,\alpha'_q=\alpha_q-i_{\overline{q}}$ for $p\in\widehat{J}\backslash I_{4,5},q\in I_{4,5}$, we define the linear space isomorphism $\theta:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}'$ by

$$\theta(x^\alpha\varsigma^{\vec{i}})=b_0^{-1}\chi(\alpha)x^{\tau(\alpha)}s^{\vec{i}}\qquad\text{for}\ \ (\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{4.39}$$

Claim 1. θ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, i.e.,

$$\theta([x^\alpha\varsigma^{\vec{i}},x^\beta\varsigma^{\vec{j}}])=[\theta(x^\alpha\varsigma^{\vec{i}}),\theta(x^\beta\varsigma^{\vec{j}})]\qquad\text{for}\ \ (\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\mathcal{J}. \tag{4.40}$$

Case a.1: First suppose $b_0 = 1$. From (2.29), we have

$$[u, v \cdot w] = [u, v] \cdot w + v \cdot [u, w] + 2\partial_0(u) \cdot v \cdot w \ \text{ for } \ u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.41}$$

By (4.39), we have

$$\theta(u \cdot v) = \theta(u) \cdot \theta(v), \ \ \theta(\partial_0(u)) = \partial_0(\theta(u)) \ \text{ for } \ u, v \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.42}$$

Thus it suffices to verify

$$\theta([x^\alpha, x^\beta]) = [\theta(x^\alpha), \theta(x^\beta)], \ \theta([\varsigma_p, x^\alpha]) = [\theta(\varsigma_p), \theta(x^\alpha)], \ \theta([\varsigma_p, \varsigma_q]) = [\theta(\varsigma_p), \theta(\varsigma_q)], \tag{4.43}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, $p, q \in \widehat{I}_3 \cup I_{5,6} \cup \overline{I}_{2,6}$ and $p, q \neq 0$ if $J_0 = \{0\}$.

By (2.10) and (3.36), we have

$$[x^\alpha, x^\beta] = \sum_{p \in I_{1,3}} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \\ \beta_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} x^{\sigma_p + \alpha + \beta} + ((2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2 - \vartheta(\beta, 0)))x^{\alpha+\beta}, \tag{4.44}$$

where

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \\ \beta_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_p & \alpha_{\overline{p}} \\ \beta_p & \beta_{\overline{p}} \end{vmatrix} \tag{4.45}$$

is a 2×2 determinant. Moreover, by (3.36), (4.12) and (4.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[\theta(x^\alpha), \theta(x^\beta)] &= \chi(\alpha)\chi(\beta)(\sum_{p \in I_{1,3}} \begin{vmatrix} (\tau(\alpha))_{\{p,\bar{p}\}} \\ (\tau(\beta))_{\{p,\bar{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} x'^{\sigma'_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha) + \tau(\beta)} \\
&\quad + ((2 - \vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0))(\tau(\beta))_0 - (\tau(\alpha))_0(2 - \vartheta(\tau(\beta), 0)))x'^{\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)}) \\
&= \theta(\sum_{p \in I_{1,3}} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \\ \beta_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} x^{\sigma_p + \alpha + \beta} + ((2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2 - \vartheta(\beta, 0)))x^{\alpha+\beta}) \\
&= \theta([x^\alpha, x^\beta])
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

because (4.18) implies that the determinant of g_p is $|g_p| = b_p = \chi(\sigma_p)$ (cf. (4.35)) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\alpha)\chi(\beta) \begin{vmatrix} (\tau(\alpha))_{\{p,\bar{p}\}} \\ (\tau(\beta))_{\{p,\bar{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} &= \chi(\alpha + \beta) \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \\ \beta_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \end{vmatrix} \cdot |h_p| \\
&= \chi(\sigma_p + \alpha + \beta) \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \\ \beta_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} \end{vmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

and (4.7), (4.12) imply

$$\vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0) = \vartheta(\alpha, 0) \text{ if } \Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}, \ \text{and } (\tau(\alpha))_0 = b_0\alpha_0 = \alpha_0. \tag{4.48}$$

This proves the first equation of (4.43).

Recall the notations (2.11) and (4.26), and $\mathscr{J} \subset \mathbb{F}^{1+2\iota_6}$ (cf. (2.18)-(2.20)). As 1×2 matrices,

$$[\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}}, x^\alpha] = ([-t_{\overline{p}}, x^\alpha], [t_p, x^\alpha]) = \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} x^{\sigma_p + \alpha} \qquad \text{for } \ p \in I. \tag{4.49}$$

Now we verify the second equation in (4.43). If $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(t_0), \theta(x^\alpha)] &= \chi(\alpha)[s_0, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] = \chi(\alpha)[t'_0, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= \chi(\alpha)(2(\tau(\alpha))_0 x^{\alpha, 1_{[0]}} - (2 - \vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0))x^{\tau(\alpha)}) \\ &= \theta([t_0, x^\alpha]) \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

by (3.1) and (4.48). If $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(t_0), \theta(x^\alpha)] &= \chi(\alpha)[t'_0 + \nu(x^{-\sigma})\widetilde{D}, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= \chi(\alpha)(-2 + \vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0) + \nu(\tau(\alpha))_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_{4,5}} P'\widetilde{D})x^{\tau(\alpha)} \\ &= \chi(\alpha)(-2 + \vartheta(\alpha, 0))x^{\tau(\alpha)} = \theta([t_0, x^\alpha]), \end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

(cf. (4.16), (4.21), (4.23), (4.30) and (4.33)) by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(\tau(\alpha))_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_{4,5}} P'\widetilde{D} &= \alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_{4,5}} \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} P'\widetilde{D} = \alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_{4,5}} P'\widetilde{g}'\widetilde{D} \\ &= \alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3} \cup I_{4,5}} P'D \ = \ \vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0) - \vartheta(\alpha, 0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of $\vartheta(\alpha, 0)$ and by (4.12). If $p \in I_2$, by (4.30), we have $s_{\overline{p}} = b_p t'_{\overline{\nu(p)}}$ if $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ or $s_{\overline{p}} \equiv b_p t'_{\overline{\nu(p)}} \pmod{\mathbb{F}}$ but $[\mathbb{F}, x^\alpha] = 0$ if $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(t_{\overline{p}}), \theta(x^\alpha)] &= \chi(\alpha)[s_{\overline{p}}, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= b_p \chi(\alpha)[t'_{\overline{\nu(p)}}, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= -\chi(\sigma_p + \alpha)(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)} x^{\sigma_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha)} \\ &= -\chi(\sigma_p + \alpha)\alpha_p x^{\sigma_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha)} \ = \ \theta([t_{\overline{p}}, x^\alpha]). \end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

Similarly, for $p \in I_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}}), \theta(x^\alpha)] &= \chi(\alpha)[\overline{s}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}}, x'^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= \chi(\alpha)[\overline{t}'_{\{\nu(p),\overline{\nu(p)}\}}, x'^{\tau(\alpha)}] b_p g_p^{-1} \\ &= \chi(\alpha) b_p (\tau(\alpha))_{\{\nu(p),\overline{\nu(p)}\}} g_p^{-1} x'^{\sigma'_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha)} \\ &= \chi(\alpha) b_p \alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} x'^{\sigma'_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha)} \\ &= \chi(\alpha + \sigma_p)\alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} x'^{\sigma'_{\nu(p)} + \tau(\alpha)} \\ &= \theta(\alpha_{\{p,\overline{p}\}} x^{\alpha + \sigma_p}) \\ &= \theta([\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}}, x^\alpha]). \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Furthermore, $[t_{I_5 \cup J_6}, x^\alpha] = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We have $gP = P\mathrm{diag}(b_0, ..., b_{\iota_3})$ by (4.15), (4.17) and (4.18), and

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(\varsigma_{\overline{I}_{4,5}}),\theta(x^{\alpha})] &= \chi(\alpha)[s_{\overline{I}_{4,5}},x^{\tau(\alpha)}]\\ &= \chi(\alpha)[(x^{-\sigma'})_{I_{4,5}}f^{-1}+\nu((x^{-\sigma'})_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}})\widetilde{h},x^{\tau(\alpha)}]\\ &= \chi(\alpha)((\tau(\alpha))_{I_{4,5}}f^{-1}+\alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}gP\widetilde{h})x^{\tau(\alpha)}\\ &= \chi(\alpha)\alpha_{I_{4,5}}x^{\tau(\alpha)}\\ &= \theta(\alpha_{I_{4,5}}x^{\alpha})\\ &= \theta([\varsigma_{\overline{I}_{4,5}},x^{\alpha}]) \qquad (4.55)\end{aligned}$$

by (4.12), (4.22), (4.27), (4.31) and (4.33). This proves the second equation of (4.43).

Now we take $E=0$ if $\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}$ and in general take

$$E=2^{-1}\widetilde{D}^T[\nu(x^{-\sigma})^T,\nu(\overline{t}'_{J_{1,3}})]\widetilde{g}\in M_{1\times(1+2\iota_3)} \qquad (4.56)$$

(cf. (4.24) and (4.33)), where $[\nu(x^{-\sigma})^T,\nu(\overline{t}'_{J_{1,3}})]\in M_{(1+\iota_5)\times(1+2\iota_3)}$. Note that if $J_0\neq\{0\}$, then by (4.5), $h_0=0$, and by (4.30)-(4.32), $\theta([t_0,\varsigma_p])=0=[\theta(t_0),\theta(\varsigma_p)]$ for $p\in\widehat{I}_3\cup I_{5,6}\cup\overline{I}_{2,6}$ if $\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}$. If $\Gamma_0=\{0\}$, we have $\theta([t_0,\varsigma_p])=0=[\theta(t_0),\theta(\varsigma_p)]$ for $p\in I_4\cup J_{5,6}$ and

$$[\theta(t_0),\theta(\overline{t}_{J_{1,3}})]=[t'_0+\widetilde{D}^T\nu(x^{-\sigma})^T,\nu(\overline{t}'_{J_{1,3}})\widetilde{g}+E]=-2E+\widetilde{D}^T[\nu(x^{-\sigma})^T,\nu(\overline{t}'_{J_{1,3}})]\widetilde{g}=0 \qquad (4.57)$$

by (4.30) and (4.56). For $p\in I_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}})^T,\theta(\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}})] &= b_p(g_p^{-1})^T[(\overline{t}'_{\{\nu(p),\overline{\nu(p)}\}})^T,\overline{t}'_{\{\nu(p),\overline{\nu(p)}\}}]b_pg_p^{-1}\\ &= b_p(g_p^{-1})^T\begin{pmatrix}0 & x^{\sigma_p}\\ -x^{\sigma_p} & 0\end{pmatrix}b_pg_p^{-1}\\ &= \theta([(\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}})^T,\overline{t}_{\{p,\overline{p}\}}]). \qquad (4.58)\end{aligned}$$

By (4.31) and (4.32), we also have

$$[\theta(t_{I_5})^T,\theta(\varsigma_{\overline{I}_5})]=B[(t'_{I_5})^T,(x^{-\sigma'})_{I_5}]B^{-1}=\mathbf{1}_{\ell_5}=\theta([(t_{I_5})^T,\varsigma_{\overline{I}_5}]). \qquad (4.59)$$

Similarly, we can prove other identities. Therefore, the last equation in (4.43) holds. This proves Claim 1 in this case.

Case a.2: Suppose $b_0\neq1$. Multiplying τ by an element of $\mathcal{G}$ of the form in Case a.1, we can suppose $\nu=\mathrm{Id}_{\widehat{I}}$ and $g'=\mathrm{diag}(b_0,1,1,...,1,1)$. Then it is straightforward to verify that (4.39) defines an isomorphism.

Case b: Assume that $\nu(0)=p\neq0$. Then (4.9) shows that $J_0=\{0\}$ and $p\in I_1$. By multiplying τ by an element of $\mathcal{G}$ of the form in Case a, we can suppose $\nu(0)=p,\nu(p)=0$ and $\nu|_{I\setminus\{p\}}=\mathrm{Id}_{I\setminus\{p\}}$ and $g'=\mathbf{1}_{1+2\iota_6}$. In this case it is straightforward to verify that

$$\theta:x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\mapsto x^{\tau(\alpha)-\sigma_p,\vec{i}} \qquad (4.60)$$

is an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$.

"$\Longrightarrow$" Suppose that $\theta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $\Gamma'_0 \neq \{0\}$.

Note that (3.32) and (3.33) show that $\mathcal{B}^{\rm F}/\mathcal{B}^{\rm N}$ has dimension ι_3. Thus we have

$$\iota_3 = \iota'_3. \tag{4.61}$$

By the definition in (2.13), we have

$$\sigma'_p = \sigma_p \quad \text{for} \quad p \in \overline{0, \iota_3}. \tag{4.62}$$

By Lemma 3.3, there exists a bijection $\tau_1 : \pi(\Gamma) \to \pi'(\Gamma')$ such that

$$\theta(\mathcal{B}_\mu) = \mathcal{B}'_{\tau_1(\mu)} \quad \text{for } \mu \in \pi(\Gamma). \tag{4.63}$$

Denote

$$\Gamma_{0,3} = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \,|\, (\pi(\alpha))_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}} = 0\}. \tag{4.64}$$

By (4.63) and Lemma 3.5, there exists a bijection $\tau : \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3} \to \Gamma'\backslash\Gamma'_{0,3}$ such that

$$\theta(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha x^{\tau(\alpha)} \text{ for } \alpha \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3} \text{ and some } c_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}. \tag{4.65}$$

Claim 2. There exists a bijection $\nu : \widehat{I}_{1,3} \to \widehat{I}'_{1,3}$ such that

$$\theta(x^{-\sigma_p}) = d_p x^{-\sigma_{\nu(p)}} \text{ for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3} \text{ and some } d_p \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}. \tag{4.66}$$

By (3.10)-(3.11) and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}^{\rm F} \,|\, [u, A_1] = 0\} = \mathrm{Span}\{x^{-\sigma_p} \,|\, p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}\} = \{u \in \mathcal{B}^{\rm F} \,|\, [u, A_2] = 0\}. \tag{4.67}$$

Thus by Lemma 3.2,

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}^{\rm F} \,|\, [u, \mathcal{A}^{\rm N}] = 0\} = \mathrm{Span}\{x^{-\sigma_p} \,|\, p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}\}. \tag{4.68}$$

If $\iota_3 = 0$, by (4.61) and (4.68), there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\iota_3 > 0$. Suppose $p \in I_{1,3}$. By (2.15), $e_{[0]} \in \Gamma$ for some $e \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}$. By (3.36),

$$[x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}, x^{-e_{[0]}}] = -2e x^{-\sigma_p}. \tag{4.69}$$

Noting that $e_{[0]}-\sigma_p, -e_{[0]} \notin \Gamma_{0,3}$, by (4.66), $\theta(x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}) \in \mathbb{F}x^{\alpha},\ \theta(x^{-e_{[0]}}) \in \mathbb{F}x^{\beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma' \setminus \Gamma'_{0,3}$ (cf. (4.64)). We have

$$[x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}] = \sum_{q\in I'_{1,3}} (\alpha_q\beta_{\overline{q}} - \alpha_{\overline{q}}\beta_q)x^{\sigma_q+\alpha+\beta} + ((2-\vartheta(\alpha,0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,0)))x^{\alpha+\beta} \tag{4.70}$$

(cf. (3.36)). By (4.68), $\theta(x^{-\sigma_p}) \in \sum_{q\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}} \mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_q}$. We claim that $\theta(x^{-\sigma_p}) \in \cup_{q\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}}\mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_q}$. If not, then by (4.69) and (4.70), there exist $q, r \in \widehat{I'}_{1,3}$ with $q \neq r$ such that $\sigma_q+\alpha+\beta = -\sigma_r$, that is, $\beta = -\alpha-\sigma_q-\sigma_r$. If $q, r \neq 0$, then (4.70) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}] &= (\alpha_q(1-\alpha_{\overline{q}}) - \alpha_{\overline{q}}(1-\alpha_q))x^{-\sigma_r} + (\alpha_r(1-\alpha_{\overline{r}}) - \alpha_{\overline{r}}(1-\alpha_r))x^{-\sigma_q} \\ &= (\alpha_q - \alpha_{\overline{q}})x^{-\sigma_r} + (\alpha_r - \alpha_{\overline{r}})x^{-\sigma_q}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

with $\alpha_q - \alpha_{\overline{q}}, \alpha_r - \alpha_{\overline{r}} \neq 0$. We obtain that $[x^{\alpha}, [x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}]] = 2(\alpha_q-\alpha_{\overline{q}})(\alpha_r-\alpha_{\overline{r}})x^{\alpha} \neq 0$, which contradicts the fact that $[x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}, [x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}, x^{-e_{[0]}}]] = 0$. If $q \neq 0, r = 0$, then (4.70) becomes

$$[x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}] = (\alpha_q - \alpha_{\overline{q}}) - 2\alpha_0 x^{-\sigma_q}, \tag{4.72}$$

with $\alpha_q - \alpha_{\overline{q}}, \alpha_0 \neq 0$ (cf. (3.6)). So we have $[x^{\alpha}, [x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}]] = -4\alpha_0(\alpha_q - \alpha_{\overline{q}})x^{\alpha} \neq 0$, which again contradicts the fact that $[x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}, [x^{e_{[0]}-\sigma_p}, x^{-e_{[0]}}]] = 0$. Thus $\theta(x^{-\sigma_p}) \in \cup_{q\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}}\mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_q}$. Now consider $\theta(1_{\mathcal{A}})$. By (2.15), $a_{[1]} \in \Gamma$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}$. Then

$$[x^{a_{[1]}-\sigma_1}, x^{-a_{[1]}}] = a1_{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{4.73}$$

As proved above, we have $\theta(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \in \cup_{q\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}}\mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_q}$. This proves the claim.

Now we consider the following subcases.

Case 1(i): $\nu(0) = 0$.

Then $J_0 = J'_0$ since $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ or $1_{\mathcal{A}'}$ is *ad*-semisimple if and only if $J_0 = \{0\}$ or $J'_0 = \{0\}$ respectively. For $p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}$, by (2.15) and (2.16), we can fix $e_p \in \mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\eta_p = (e_p)_{[p]} \in \Gamma$. Then $\eta_p \notin \Gamma_{0,3}$.

Claim 3.

$$(\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(q)} = 0 \qquad \text{for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3},\ q \in \widehat{J}_{1,3},\ q \neq p, \overline{p}. \tag{4.74}$$

For $p, q \in I_{1,3}$, applying θ to $[x^{-\sigma_p}, x^{\eta_q}] = -\delta_{p,q}e_q x^{\eta_q}$, we obtain

$$d_p((\tau(\eta_q))_{\nu(p)} - (\tau(\eta_q))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}) = -\delta_{p,q}e_p \qquad \text{for } p, q \in I_{1,3} \tag{4.75}$$

by (4.65) and (4.66), Applying θ to $[x^{\eta_p}, x^{\eta_q}] = 0$ and using (4.75), we obtain

$$0 = (\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(q)}(\tau(\eta_q))_{\overline{\nu(q)}} - (\tau(\eta_p))_{\overline{\nu(q)}}(\tau(\eta_q))_{\nu(q)} = -(\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(q)}e_q, \tag{4.76}$$

for $p, q \in I_{1,3}$, $p \neq q$. The above two equations show that (4.74) holds for $p, q \in I_{1,3}$ with $q \neq p, \overline{p}$. In the same way, from $[1_{\mathcal{A}}, x^{\eta_p}] = 0$, we obtain $(\tau(\eta_p))_0 = 0$. Thus (4.74) holds for $p \in I_{1,3}$. Similarly, we can prove that (4.74) holds for $p = 0$.

Claim 4. τ can be uniquely extended to a group isomorphism $\tau : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ such that $\tau(\sigma_p) = \sigma_{\nu(p)}$ for $p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}$.

For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha, \alpha + \eta_0 \notin \Gamma_{0,3}$ (cf. (4.64)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{\eta_0+\alpha}(2\alpha_0 - e_0(2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0)))x^{\tau(\eta_0+\alpha)} \\ = \; & \theta([x^{\eta_0}, x^{\alpha}]) = c_{\eta_0} c_\alpha [x^{\tau(\eta_0)}, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ = \; & c_{\eta_0} c_\alpha ((2 - \vartheta(\tau(\eta_p), 0))(\tau(\alpha))_0 - (\tau(\eta_0))_0 (2 - \vartheta(\tau(\eta_0), 0)))x^{\tau(\eta_0)+\tau(\alpha)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

which implies

$$\tau(\eta_0 + \alpha) = \tau(\eta_0) + \tau(\alpha), \tag{4.78}$$

if α satisfies

$$\alpha, \alpha + \eta_0 \notin \Gamma_{0,3} \text{ and } 2\alpha_0 - e_0(2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0)) \neq 0. \tag{4.79}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \notin \Gamma_{0,3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \in I_{1,3}} (\alpha_p \beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}} \beta_p) c_{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta} x^{\tau(\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta)} \\ & +((2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2 - \vartheta(\beta, 0)))c_{\alpha+\beta} x^{\tau(\alpha+\beta)} \\ = \; & c_\alpha c_\beta (\sum_{p \in I'_{1,3}} ((\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)} (\tau(\beta))_{\overline{\nu(p)}} - (\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}} (\tau(\beta))_{\nu(p)}) x^{\sigma_{\nu(p)}+\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)} \\ & +((2 - \vartheta(\tau(\alpha), 0))(\tau(\beta))_0 - (\tau(\alpha))_0 (2 - \vartheta(\tau(\beta), 0)))x^{\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

by applying θ to (3.36). Assume that all $\alpha, \alpha + \eta_0, 2\alpha, 2\alpha + \eta_0$ satisfy (4.79). Taking $\beta = \eta_0 + \alpha$ in (4.80) and using (4.78), we see that all terms in (4.80) vanish except the last terms in the both sides. Thus we obtain

$$\tau(2\alpha) = 2\tau(\alpha), \tag{4.81}$$

if (4.79) holds for $\alpha, \alpha + \eta_0, 2\alpha, 2\alpha + \eta_0$ and $2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0) \neq 0$. Since we can replace η_0 by $k\eta_0$ for any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, (4.81) holds for all $\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0,3}$ with $2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0) \neq 0$.

Denote

$$\Sigma = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0,3} \,|\, 2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0) \neq 0\}. \tag{4.82}$$

Now take $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Sigma \text{ and } (2 - \vartheta(\alpha, 0))\beta_0 - \alpha_0(2 - \vartheta(\beta, 0)) \neq 0. \tag{4.83}$$

Then (4.80) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(\alpha+\beta)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)+\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(p)}\sigma_p, \text{ where} \\ &k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(p)}=0,1 \text{ such that } \sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(p)}=0,1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.84}$$

We claim that $\tau(\alpha+\beta)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)$ if $\alpha,\beta\in\Sigma$ and if the pairs $(\alpha,\beta),(2\alpha,2\beta)$ satisfy (4.83). Assume that $k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}=1$ for some $q\in I_{1,3}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(2\alpha)+\tau(2\beta)+\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} k_{2\alpha,2\beta}^{(p)}\sigma_p &= \tau(2\alpha+2\beta)=\tau(2(\alpha+\beta))=2\tau(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= 2(\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)+\sum_{p\in I_{1,3}} k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(p)}\sigma_p), \end{aligned} \tag{4.85}$$

from this we obtain $k_{2\alpha,2\beta}^{(q)}=2k_{\alpha,\beta}^{(q)}>1$, which is a contradiction to (4.84).

For any $\alpha,\beta\in\Sigma$, we can always choose $\gamma\in\Sigma$ such that the pairs

$$(\alpha+\beta,\gamma),\ (2\alpha+2\beta,2\gamma),\ (\alpha,\beta+\gamma),\ (2\alpha,2\beta+2\gamma),\ (\beta,\gamma),\ (2\beta,2\gamma) \tag{4.86}$$

satisfy (4.83). Hence

$$\tau(\alpha+\beta)+\tau(\gamma)=\tau(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta+\gamma)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)+\tau(\gamma), \tag{4.87}$$

which gives rise to

$$\tau(\alpha+\beta)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta). \tag{4.88}$$

In this way, we can also prove $\tau(\alpha+\beta)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$ such that $\alpha+\beta\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$. Since any element $\gamma\in\Gamma$ can be written as $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$ for some $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$, this shows that τ can be uniquely extended to a group isomorphism $\tau:\Gamma\to\Gamma'$ such that $\tau(\sigma_p)=\sigma_{\nu(p)}$ for $p\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}$.

Claim 5. There exists $g=\mathrm{diag}(b_0,g_1,...,g_{\iota_3})\in GL_{1+2\iota_3}$, where

$$b_0\in\mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\},\ \ g_p=\begin{pmatrix} 1-a_p & 1-a_p-b_p \\ a_p & a_p+b_p \end{pmatrix}\in GL_2, \tag{4.89}$$

such that

$$\nu(\tau(\alpha))_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}=\alpha_{\widehat{I}_{1,3}}g \text{ and } \vartheta(\tau(\alpha),0)=\vartheta(\alpha,0) \qquad \text{for } \alpha\in\Gamma, \tag{4.90}$$

(cf. (4.10)).

Using the fact that τ is a group isomorphism and applying θ to

$$[1_{\mathcal{A}},x^\alpha]=2\alpha_0x^\alpha,\ \ [x^{-\sigma_p},x^\alpha]=(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p)x^\alpha, \tag{4.91}$$

$$[x^{\alpha},x^{-\alpha}]=-4\alpha_0,\ \ [x^{\alpha},x^{-\alpha-\sigma_p}]=(\alpha_p-\alpha_{\overline{p}})-2\alpha_0x^{-\sigma_p} \tag{4.92}$$

for $\alpha\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$ and $p\in I_{1,3}$, we obtain

$$2\alpha_0=2(\tau(\alpha))_0d_0,\ \ \alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p=d_p((\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}-(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}), \tag{4.93}$$

$$-4\alpha_0d_0=-4(\tau(\alpha))_0c_{\alpha}c_{-\alpha},\ \ -2\alpha_0d_p=-2(\tau(\alpha))_0c_{\alpha}c_{-\alpha-\sigma_p}, \tag{4.94}$$

$$(\alpha_p-\alpha_{\overline{p}})d_0=c_{\alpha}c_{-\alpha-\sigma_p}((\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}-(\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}) \tag{4.95}$$

for $\alpha\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$ and $p\in I_{1,3}$. Comparing the coefficients of $x^{\sigma_{\nu(p)}+\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)}$ in (4.80), we obtain

$$(\alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p)c_{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta}=c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}((\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}(\tau(\beta))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}-(\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}(\tau(\beta))_{\nu(p)}), \tag{4.96}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&((2-\vartheta(\alpha,0))\beta_0-\alpha_0(2-\vartheta(\beta,0)))c_{\alpha+\beta}\\=\ &c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}((2-\vartheta(\tau(\alpha),0))(\tau(\beta))_0-(\tau(\alpha))_0(2-\vartheta(\tau(\beta),0))),\end{aligned} \tag{4.97}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&((1-\alpha_p)(1-\beta_{\overline{p}})-(1-\alpha_{\overline{p}})(1-\beta_p))c_{-\sigma_p-\alpha-\beta}\\=\ &c_{-\alpha-\sigma_p}c_{-\beta-\sigma_p}((1-(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)})(1-(\tau(\beta))_{\overline{\nu(p)}})\\&-(1-(\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}})(1-(\tau(\beta))_{\nu(p)})),\end{aligned} \tag{4.98}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(-\vartheta(\alpha,0)\beta_0+\alpha_0\vartheta(\beta,0))c_{-\alpha-\beta-2\sigma_p}\\=\ &c_{-\alpha-\sigma_p}c_{-\beta-\sigma_p}(-\vartheta(\tau(\alpha),0)(\tau(\beta))_0+(\tau(\alpha))_0\vartheta(\tau(\beta),0))\end{aligned} \tag{4.99}$$

for $\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$, where (4.98) and (4.99) are obtained from (4.96) and (4.97) through replacing α and β by $-\alpha-\sigma_p$ and $-\beta-\sigma_p$, respectively. Set $\beta=\eta_p$ in (4.96). By (4.74), (4.75) and the second equation in (4.93), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-\alpha_pe_pc_{\sigma_p+\eta_p+\alpha}\ &=\ c_{\eta_p}c_{\alpha}((\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}(-d_p^{-1}e_p+(\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(p)})\\&\quad-(d_p^{-1}(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p)+(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)})(\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(p)})\\&=\ -d_p^{-1}c_{\eta_p}c_{\alpha}(e_p(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}+(\alpha_{\overline{p}}-\alpha_p)(\tau(\eta_p))_{\nu(p)}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.100}$$

if $\alpha,\alpha+\eta_p\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$. Set $\beta=\eta_p$ in (4.99) and note that $\vartheta(\eta_p,0)=e_p$. We obtain

$$\alpha_0e_pc_{-2\sigma_p-\eta_p-\alpha}=c_{-\sigma_p-\eta_p}c_{-\sigma_p-\alpha}(\tau(\alpha))_0\vartheta(\tau(\eta_p),0)\text{ if }\alpha,\alpha+\eta_p\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}. \tag{4.101}$$

Assume that $\alpha_0\neq 0$, which implies $\alpha,\alpha+\eta_p\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$. By the first equation in (4.93) and the second equation in (4.94), we have $c_{\sigma_p+\eta_p+\alpha}c_{-2\sigma_p-\eta_p-\alpha}=c_{\alpha}c_{-\sigma_p-\alpha}=d_0d_p$. Since

η_p is fixed for each p, (4.100), (4.101), the second equation in (4.93) and the fact that $\tau(\sigma_p) = \sigma_{\nu(p)}$ enable us to deduce

$$((\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)}, (\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(p)}}) = (\alpha_p, \alpha_{\overline{p}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1-a_p & 1-a_p-b_p \\ a_p & a_p+b_p \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.102}$$

for $p \in I_{1,3}$ and some $a_p, b_p \in \mathbb{F}$ with $b_p \neq 0$, where the matrix is denoted as g_p in (4.18). Since τ is a group isomorphism, (4.102) must hold for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Note that the determinant $|g_p| = b_p \neq 0$. We also have

$$(\tau(a))_0 = b_0\alpha_0, \text{ where } b_0 = d_0^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{4.103}$$

by the first equation in (4.93). This proves the first equation in (4.90).

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_p|g_p| = 1, \\ & c_\alpha c_{-\alpha} = d_0^2 && \text{if } \alpha_0 \neq 0, \\ & c_a c_{-\sigma_p-\alpha} = d_0 d_p && \text{if } \alpha_0 \neq 0, \\ & c_{\sigma_p+\alpha+\beta} = c_\alpha c_\beta |g_p| && \text{if } \alpha_p\beta_{\overline{p}} - \alpha_{\overline{p}}\beta_p \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.104}$$

by (4.93), (4.94) and (4.96), where $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$. From this one can deduce that $\chi : \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3} \to \mathbb{F}^\times$ defined by $\chi(\alpha) = b_0 c_\alpha$ can be uniquely extended to a multiplicative function $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}^\times$. Then by (4.103) and (4.97), we obtain the second equation of (4.90).

Claim 6. We claim that $\nu(I_k) = I'_k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

So suppose $\iota_3 \geq 1$. Take

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{Span}\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3},\ \alpha_0 = 0\}, \tag{4.105}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &= \{u \in \mathcal{A} \mid [u, \mathcal{M}] \subset \mathcal{B}\} \\ &= \mathcal{B} + \text{Span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \mid \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, |\vec{i}| = 1, \beta = \beta_{I_{4,5}}, \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{I_5\cup J_6}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.106}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_2 &= \mathcal{B} + \{u \in \mathcal{M}_1 \mid [x^{-\sigma_p}, u] = 0 \text{ for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}\} \\ &= \mathcal{B} + \text{Span}\{x^{\alpha,1_{[q]}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \mid \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, q \in \overline{I}_{4,5}, \beta = \beta_{I_{4,5}}, \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{I_5\cup J_6}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.107}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{(p)} = \mathcal{B} + \{u \in \mathcal{M}_1 \mid [x^{-\sigma_p}, u] = 0\} \text{ for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}. \tag{4.108}$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a Lie algebra and $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a $\mathcal{M}_2$-module such that $\mathcal{M}_1^{(p)}$ is a submodule for $p \in I_{1,3}$. Note that the quotient module $\mathcal{M}_1/\mathcal{M}_1^{(p)}$ is zero if $p \in I_1$, is a cyclic $\mathcal{M}_2$-module (with generator $t_{\overline{p}}$) if $p \in I_2$, and is not cyclic (with two generators $t_p, t_{\overline{p}}$) if $p \in I_3$. Applying θ to the above sets, we obtain

$$(\nu(I_1), \nu(I_2), \nu(I_3)) = (I'_1, I'_2, I'_3) \text{ and so } (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = (\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3). \tag{4.109}$$

Claim 7. In (4.102), $a_p = 0$ if $p \in I_2$.

Assume that $p \in I_2$. Write

$$\theta(t_{\overline{p}}) = bt'_{\nu(p)} + \sum_{(0,1_{\overline{[\nu(p)]}}) \neq (\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma' \times \mathcal{J}'} b_{\beta,\vec{j}} x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \text{ for some } b, b_{\beta,\vec{j}} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{4.110}$$

We have

$$\alpha_p c_{\sigma_p+\alpha} x^{\tau(\alpha)+\sigma_{\nu(p)}} = \theta([x^\alpha, t_{[\overline{p}]}]) = [\theta(x^\alpha), \theta(t_{[\overline{p}]})] = c_a b(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(p)} x^{\tau(\alpha)+\sigma_{\nu(p)}} + ..., \tag{4.111}$$

where missed terms do not contain $x^{\tau(\alpha)+\sigma_{\nu(p)}}$. Thus by (4.102), we obtain

$$\alpha_p c_{\sigma_p+\alpha} = c_a b((1-a_p)\alpha_p + a_p \alpha_{\overline{p}}). \tag{4.112}$$

By (2.15), we can choose $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}1_{[\overline{p}]} \cap \Gamma) \backslash \{0\}$, then (4.112) proves the claim.

This proves (4.1)-(4.3).

By (3.9), (3.11) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[\overline{p}]}}) \in \mathcal{A}'^{\mathrm{F}} & \subset & \mathrm{Span}(A'_0 \cup A'_2) \\ & = & \sum_{q \in I'_{1,3}} \mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_p} + \sum_{r \in I'_{4,5}} \mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[\overline{p}]}} + \mathrm{Span}(A'_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.113}$$

for $p \in I_{4,5}$. Thus,

$$\theta((x^{-\sigma})_{I_{4,5}}) \equiv (\nu(x^{-\sigma'})_{\widehat{I'}_{1,3}})\widetilde{h} + (x^{-\sigma'})_{I'_{4,5}} \widetilde{f} \pmod{\mathrm{Span}(A'_2)} \tag{4.114}$$

(cf. (4.27)) for some

$$\widetilde{h} = (a_{p,q}) \in M_{(1+\iota'_3)\times(\ell_4+\ell_5)} \text{ and } \widetilde{f} = (b_{p,q}) \in GL_{\ell_4+\ell_5}. \tag{4.115}$$

Claim 8.

$$a_{p,q} = 0 \text{ if } p \in \widehat{I'}_{2,3} \text{ or } p = 0, J'_0 \neq \{0\}, \tag{4.116}$$

$$b_{p,q} = 0 \text{ for } p \in I'_5,\ q \in I_4. \tag{4.117}$$

$$(\ell_4, \ell_5) = (\ell'_4, \ell'_5), \quad \sigma = \sigma'. \tag{4.118}$$

Recall (3.35). Denote

$$C_{\mathcal{A}}(C(\mathcal{B})) = \{u \in \mathcal{A} \,|\, [u, C(\mathcal{B})] = 0\}, \tag{4.119}$$

the centralizer of $C(\mathcal{B})$. By (3.35), we note that $x^{-2\sigma_p} \in C(\mathcal{B})$ for $p \in I_{4,5}$. It is straightforward to verify

$$\{t_p \,|\, p \in I_3 \cup \overline{I}_{2,3}\} \subset C_{\mathcal{A}}(C(\mathcal{B})) \subset \mathrm{Span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, \vec{i}_{\overline{I}_{4,5}} = 0\}, \tag{4.120}$$

and $t_0 \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(C(\mathcal{B}))$ if $J_0 \neq \{0\}$. For $p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}$, (4.120) implies that $\mathrm{ad}_{x^{-\sigma_p}}|_{C_{\mathcal{A}}(C(\mathcal{B}))}$ is semisimple if and only if $p \in I_1$ or $p = 0, J_0 = \{0\}$, and $\mathrm{ad}_{x^{-\sigma_{q},1_{[\overline{q}]}}}|_{C_{\mathcal{A}}(C(\mathcal{B}))}$ is semisimple for $q \in I_{4,5}$ by (4.120). Moreover, by (3.1), for $q \in I_{4,5}$, $\mathrm{ad}_{x^{-\sigma_{q},1_{[\overline{q}]}}}$ is semisimple if and only if $q \in I_4$. We obtain (4.116) and (4.117). In particular, (4.117) and the definition of σ in (2.13) imply (4.118).

For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we denote

$$\overline{\alpha} = (2\alpha_0, \alpha_{\overline{1}} - \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{\overline{\iota_3}} - \alpha_{\iota_3}) = \alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}} P \in \mathbb{F}^{1+\iota_3} \tag{4.121}$$

(cf. (4.15)). For $\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0,3}$, applying θ to

$$-\alpha_{I_{4,5}} x^{\alpha} = [(x^{-\sigma})_{I_{4,5}}, x^{\alpha}], \tag{4.122}$$

(cf. (3.17) and (4.27)), using (4.65), (4.66) and (4.114), and noting that $[A_2', \mathcal{B}'] = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha_{I_{4,5}} c_\alpha x^{\tau(\alpha)} &= c_\alpha[\nu((x^{-\sigma})_{\widehat{I'}_{1,3}})\widetilde{h} + (x^{-\sigma})_{I'_{4,5}} \widetilde{f}, x^{\tau(\alpha)}] \\ &= c_\alpha(\nu(\overline{\tau(\alpha)})_{\widehat{I'}_{1,3}} \widetilde{h} - (\tau(\alpha))_{I_{4,5}} \widetilde{f}) x^{\tau(\alpha)} \\ &= c_\alpha(\nu((\tau(\alpha))_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}) P\widetilde{h} - (\tau(\alpha))_{I_{4,5}} \widetilde{f}) x^{\tau(\alpha)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.123}$$

(cf. (4.121)). This gives

$$(\tau(\alpha))_{I_{4,5}} = \alpha_{I_{4,5}} f + \alpha_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}} h \text{ with } f = \widetilde{f}^{-1},\ h = gP\widetilde{h}\widetilde{f}^{-1}, \tag{4.124}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0,3}$ and thus for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ since τ is an isomorphism, where

$$g = \mathrm{diag}(b_0, g_1, ..., g_{\iota_3}) \in GL_{1+2\iota_3} \tag{4.125}$$

(cf. (4.102) and (4.103)). Clearly f and h are of the forms in (4.4) and (4.6), respectively. Assume that $\ell_6 = \ell_6'$, then this proves that τ has the form g_ν' in (4.12), and (4.90) implies that $g' \in \mathcal{G}''$ (cf. (4.7)), and thus the theorem is proved in this case. It remains to prove

Claim 9. $\ell_6 = \ell_6'$.

Recall (4.105) for the definition of $\mathcal{M}$. By (3.1) we see that the centralizer of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$\mathcal{C} = C_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}},\ \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{I_5 \cup J_6}\}. \tag{4.126}$$

The center of $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$\mathcal{D} = C(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{I_5}\} \text{ which is a domain ring.} \tag{4.127}$$

By exchanging positions of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ if necessary, we can suppose $\ell_6 \le \ell'_6$. Note that in $\mathcal{C}$, the formula (1.1) holds. By the proof of sufficiency, there exists an embedding $\overline{\theta} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$\overline{\theta}(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha x^\alpha, \;\; \overline{\theta}((x^{-\sigma})_{I_{4,5}}) = (\nu(x^{-\sigma'})_{\widehat{I'}_{1,3}})\widetilde{h} + (x^{-\sigma'})_{I'_{4,5}}\widetilde{f} \;\; \text{for} \;\; \alpha \in \Gamma \tag{4.128}$$

(cf. (4.31), (4.39), (4.114), and noting that the proof of Claim 5 shows that $\chi(\alpha) = b_0 c_\alpha$ is a multiplicative function). Thus by identifying $\mathcal{A}$ with $\overline{\theta}(\mathcal{A})$, we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}'$ such that there exists isomorphism θ satisfying

$$\theta(x^\alpha) = x^\alpha, \;\; \theta(x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[\overline{p}]}}) \equiv x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[\overline{p}]}} \pmod{\mathrm{Span}(A'_2)} \;\; \text{for} \;\; \alpha \in \Gamma, p \in I_{4,5}. \tag{4.129}$$

By restricting θ to $\mathcal{C}$, we want to prove

$$\theta(t_p) = t_p + c_p, \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_5 \text{ and some } c_p \in \mathbb{F}, \tag{4.130}$$

$$\theta(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}t^{\vec{j}}) = x^\alpha \prod_{p \in I_5} (\theta(t_p))^{i_p} \prod_{q \in J_6} (\theta(t_q))^{j_q} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = \alpha_{I_{4,5}}, \vec{i} = \vec{i}_{I_5}, \vec{j} = \vec{j}_{J_6}, \tag{4.131}$$

To prove (4.130), first by (4.127), we have $c_p = \theta(t_p) - t_p \in \mathcal{D}'$. Then by (4.129), we have

$$[x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}, c_p] = \theta([\theta^{-1}(x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}), t_p]) - [x^{-\sigma_q, 1_{[\overline{q}]}}, t_p] = 0, \tag{4.132}$$

from which, we obtain that $c_p \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus we have (4.130). Similarly, we have

$$\theta(x^{\alpha, 1_{[p]}}) = x^\alpha(t_p + c_{\alpha,p}) \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_5 \text{ and some } c_{\alpha,p} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{4.133}$$

By considering $[x^\alpha, t_p t_{\overline{p}}]$, we see that $c_{\alpha,p} = c_p$, and obtain

$$\theta(t_p t_{\overline{p}}) = (t_p + c_p)t_{\overline{p}} + u_p \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_5 \text{ and some } u_p \in C_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{D}'). \tag{4.134}$$

From this and (4.130), we can deduce

$$\theta(x^{\alpha, 1_{[p]}}) = x^\alpha(t_p + c_p) \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_5. \tag{4.135}$$

Similar to (4.134), we have

$$\theta(x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[p]} + 1_{[\overline{p}]}}) = x^{-\sigma_p, 1_{[\overline{p}]}}(t_p + c_p) + u'_p \quad \text{for} \quad p \in I_5 \text{ and some } u'_p \in C_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{D}'). \tag{4.136}$$

Now from (4.130), (4.133)-(4.136), we can obtain (4.131) by induction on $|\vec{i}|$ in case $\vec{j} = 0$.

Assume that (4.131) holds for all $\vec{j}$ with $|\vec{j}| < n$, where $n \ge 1$. We denote by $X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{j}}$ the difference between the left-hand side and the right-hand side of (4.131). Then the inductive assumption says that $X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{j}}=0$ if $|\vec{j}|<n$. Now suppose $|\vec{j}|=n$. Say $j_r\geq 1$ for some $r\in I_6$ (the proof is similar if $r\in \overline{I}_6$). Let $\vec{k}=\vec{j}-1_{[r]}+1_{[\overline{r}]}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\theta(t_r),X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{k}}] &= \theta([t_r,x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}t^{\vec{k}}])-\theta([t_r,\theta^{-1}(x^\alpha)])\prod_{p\in I_5}(\theta(t_p))^{i_p}\prod_{q\in J_6}(\theta(t_q))^{k_q}\\ &\quad -x^\alpha[\theta(t_r),\prod_{p\in I_5}(\theta(t_p))^{i_p}\prod_{q\in J_6}(\theta(t_q))^{k_q}]\\ &= (j_{\overline{r}}+1)(\theta(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}t^{\vec{j}-1_{[r]}})-x^\alpha\prod_{p\in I_5}(\theta(t_p))^{i_p}\prod_{q\in J_6}(\theta(t_q))^{j_q-\delta_{q,r}})\\ &= (j_{\overline{r}}+1)X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{j}-1_{[r]}}=0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.137}$$

where the first equality follows from (1.1), and the second equality follows from (1.1) and (4.129). By (1.1) and (4.137), we obtain

$$[\theta(t_r^2),X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{k}}]=\theta([t_r^2,\theta^{-1}(X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{k}})])=2\theta(t_r[t_r,\theta^{-1}(X)])=0. \tag{4.138}$$

On the other hand, exactly similar to (4.137), we have

$$[\theta(t_r^2),X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{k}}]=2(j_{\overline{r}}+1)X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{j}}. \tag{4.139}$$

Now (4.138) and (4.139) show that $X_{\alpha,\vec{i},\vec{j}}=0$. This proves (4.131). By (4.130), (4.131) and identifying $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{D}'$ using the isomorphism, we see that θ is an associative algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}'$ over the domain ring $\mathcal{D}$. From this we obtain $\ell_6=\ell_6'$ since $2\ell_6$ is the transcendental degree of $\mathcal{C}$ over the domain ring $\mathcal{D}$.

Case 1(ii): Suppose $\nu(0)\neq 0$.

Claim 10. $J_0'=\{0\}$.

Take

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}=(\mathbf{1}_{1+2\iota_6})_{\nu^{-1}}(\Gamma'), \tag{4.140}$$

and define a Lie algebra $\widetilde{A}=\mathcal{K}(\vec{\ell},\sigma,\widetilde{G},\mathcal{J})$. Denote

$$\mathcal{E}=\mathrm{Span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\in\mathcal{B}\,|\,\vec{i}_{\widehat{J}_{1,3}}=0\}. \tag{4.141}$$

Similarly, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{E}\cong\mathcal{K}(\vec{n},\sigma,\Gamma,\mathcal{J})\text{ with }\vec{n}=(\iota_3,0,0,\ell_4,\ell_5,0). \tag{4.142}$$

Thus by the sufficiency, there exists

$$\widetilde{\theta}:\mathcal{E}'\cong\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\text{ which maps }x^{-\sigma_{\nu(p)}}\mapsto\widetilde{x}^{-\sigma_p}\text{ for }p\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}. \tag{4.143}$$

Also we have

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{ the span of common eigenvectors of } \mathrm{ad}_{x^{-\sigma_p}} \text{ for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}. \tag{4.144}$$

Thus

$$\theta' = \theta|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}' \text{ maps } x^{-\sigma_p} \mapsto x^{-\sigma_{\nu(p)}} \text{ for } p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}. \tag{4.145}$$

Therefore $\theta'' = \widetilde{\theta} \cdot \theta' : \mathcal{E} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ which maps $x^{-\sigma_p} \mapsto x^{-\sigma_p}$ for $p \in \widehat{I}_{1,3}$. By the proof of Case 1(i), there exists g' of the some suitable form in (4.17) (with the corresponding sets I_2, I_3 being empty) such that θ'' is determined by $\tau'' = g'_{\mathrm{Id}} : \Gamma \cong \widetilde{\Gamma}$. Thus $g'_\nu : \Gamma \cong \Gamma'$. For simplicity, we assume $g' = \mathbf{1}_{1+2\iota_6}, \nu(0) = p_0, \nu(p_0) = 0$ and $\nu|_{I\setminus\{p_0\}} = \mathrm{Id}|_{I\setminus\{p_0\}}$ (the proof is similar in general). For $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we denote $\alpha' = \tau''(\alpha)$. Then

$$\alpha'_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{\overline{p}_0} - \alpha_{p_0}), \alpha'_{p_0} = -\frac{1}{2}\vartheta(\alpha, 0) - \alpha_0, \alpha'_{\overline{p}_0} = -\frac{1}{2}\vartheta(\alpha, 0) + \alpha_0, \alpha'_p = \alpha_p, \tag{4.146}$$

for $p \neq 0, p_0, \overline{p}_0$, and

$$\theta(x^\alpha) = x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}} \text{ for } \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{4.147}$$

Suppose $J'_0 \neq \{0\}$. Then we have $p_0 \in I_2$ as in the proof of Claim 6. Write

$$\theta^{-1}(t'_0) = bx^{-\sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[\overline{p}_0]}} + \sum_{(-\sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[\overline{p}_0]}) \neq (\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}', |\vec{j}| \leq 1} b_{\beta, \vec{j}} x^{\beta, \vec{j}}. \tag{4.148}$$

If $b_{\beta,\vec{j}} \neq 0$ for some $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}\sigma_{p_0}$, then we can find some $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha_{p_0} = \alpha_{\overline{p}_0}$ such that $[x^\alpha, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}] \neq 0$. But by (4.146), $[t'_0, x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}}] = 0$, which leads a contradiction. Thus $\beta \in \mathbb{F}\sigma_{p_0}$. Similarly, we have $\vec{j} = 0$ if $b_{\beta,\vec{j}} \neq 0$. Thus (4.148) can be rewritten as

$$\theta^{-1}(t'_0) = bx^{-\sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[\overline{p}_0]}} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{F}} b_i x^{i\sigma_{p_0}}. \tag{4.149}$$

For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_{p_0} \neq \alpha_{\overline{p}_0}$, applying ad_{x^α} to (4.149), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\alpha'_0 \theta^{-1}(x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[0]}}) - \vartheta(\alpha', 0) x^\alpha \\
= \ & \theta^{-1}(-2\alpha'_0 x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[0]}} - \vartheta(\alpha', 0) x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}}) \\
= \ & \theta^{-1}([x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}}, t'_0]) = [x^\alpha, \theta^{-1}(t'_0)] \\
= \ & b((\alpha_{p_0} - \alpha_{\overline{p}_0}) x^{\alpha, 1_{[\overline{p}_0]}} + \alpha_{p_0} x^\alpha) - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{F}} i b_i (\alpha_{p_0} - \alpha_{\overline{p}_0}) x^{\alpha + (i+1)\sigma_{p_0}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.150}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\alpha'_0 \theta^{-1}(x^{\alpha' - \sigma_{p_0}, 1_{[0]}}) \\
= \ & -2\alpha'_0 b x^{\alpha, 1_{[\overline{p}_0]}} + (\alpha_{p_0} b + \vartheta(\alpha', 0)) x^\alpha + 2\alpha'_0 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{F}} i b_i x^{\alpha + (i+1)\sigma_{p_0}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.151}$$

Applying $\mathrm{ad}_{x^{-\alpha-2\sigma_{p_0}}}$ to (4.151) (note that $\tau''(\sigma_{p_0})=-\sigma_{p_0}$ by (4,131)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -8{\alpha'}_0^2(bx^{-\sigma_{p_0},1_{[\overline{p}_0]}}+\sum_{i\in\mathbb{F}}b_ix^{i\sigma_{p_0}})-2\alpha'_0(4+\vartheta(\alpha',0))x^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \\
= \; & -8{\alpha'}_0^2\theta^{-1}(t'_0)-2\alpha'_0(4+\vartheta(\alpha',0))x^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \\
= \; & -2\alpha'_0\theta^{-1}(4\alpha'_0t'_0+(4+\vartheta(\alpha',0)) \\
= \; & -2\alpha'_0\theta^{-1}([x^{-\alpha'+\sigma_{p_0}},x^{\alpha'-\sigma_{p_0},1_{[0]}}]) \\
= \; & [x^{-\alpha-2\sigma_{p_0}},-2\alpha'_0\theta^{-1}(x^{\alpha'-\sigma_{p_0},1_{[0]}})] \\
= \; & -2\alpha'_0b(-2(\alpha_{p_0}-\alpha_{\overline{p}_0})x^{-\sigma_{p_0},1_{[\overline{p}_0]}}+(-\alpha_{p_0}+2)x^{-\sigma_{p_0}}) \\
& -2(\alpha_{p_0}b+\vartheta(\alpha',0))(\alpha_{p_0}-\alpha_{\overline{p}_0})x^{-\sigma_{p_0}}+2\alpha'_0\sum_{i\in\mathbb{F}}i(i-1)b_i(\alpha_{p_0}-\alpha_{\overline{p}_0})x^{i\sigma_{p_0}}. \qquad (4.152)
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $x^{-\sigma_{p_0}}$, we obtain that

$$4+\vartheta(\alpha',0)=b(2-\alpha_{p_0})-2(\alpha_{p_0}b+\vartheta(\alpha',0)), \qquad (4.153)$$

holds for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$ with $\alpha_0=0,\alpha_{p_0}\neq\alpha_{\overline{p}_0}$. This is impossible. Thus $J'_0=\{0\}$. This proves Claim 10.

By Claim 10, we also have $J_0=\{0\}$ and $p_0\in I_1$. Thus by the sufficiency, there exists isomorphism $\widetilde{\theta}:\mathcal{A}'\cong\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ which extends $\widetilde{\theta}:\mathcal{E}'\cong\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $\widetilde{\theta}\cdot\theta$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}\cong\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the corresponding ν is the identity map, and so by Case 1(i), there exists $g'\in\mathcal{G}'$ such that g'_{id} is a group isomorphism $\Gamma\cong\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Thus $g'_\nu:\Gamma\cong\Gamma'$ is a group isomorphism as required. This proves the theorem in this case.

Case 2: $\Gamma_0=\Gamma'_0=\{0\}$. First assume that $\iota_3>0$. Using Lemma 3.5(2), we have (4.65) and as in Claim 2, there is a bijection $\nu:I_{1,3}\to I'_{1,3}$. By (4.80) (where now $\alpha_0=\beta_0=0$), we can suppose as in (4.84),

$$\tau(\alpha+\beta+\sigma_1)=\tau(\alpha)+\tau(\beta)+\sigma_{\nu(1)}+\sum_{1\neq p\in I_{1,3}}k^{(p)}_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_{\nu(p)}-\sigma_{\nu(1)}). \qquad (4.154)$$

Then as proved before, we have $k^{(p)}_{\alpha,\beta}=0$, and so

$$\tau':\alpha\mapsto\tau(\alpha-\sigma_1)+\sigma_{\nu(1)}, \qquad (4.155)$$

can be uniquely extended to a group isomorphism $\tau':\Gamma\to\Gamma'$ such that $\tau(\alpha)=\tau'(\alpha)+\tau'(\sigma_1)-\sigma_{\nu(1)}$. To see how the proof is similar as before, we assume that $c_\alpha=1$ in (4.65), $\nu=\mathrm{Id}_{I_{1,3}}$ (the general case can be obtain by multiplying θ by an element $g'_\nu\in\mathcal{G}$). For convenience, take $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma\cap(\mathbb{F}1_{[1]}+\mathbb{F}1_{[\overline{1}]})$. We have

$$[x^\alpha,x^\beta]=(\alpha_1\beta_{\overline{1}}-\alpha_{\overline{1}}\beta_1)x^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma_1}. \qquad (4.156)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&(((\tau'(\alpha))_1+(\tau'(\sigma_1))_1+1)((\tau'(\beta))_{\overline{1}}+(\tau'(\sigma_1))_{\overline{1}}+1)\\
&-((\tau'(\alpha))_{\overline{1}}+(\tau'(\sigma_1))_{\overline{1}}+1)((\tau'(\beta))_1+(\tau'(\sigma_1))_1+1))x^{\tau'(\alpha)+\tau'(\beta)+2\tau'(\sigma_1)-\sigma_1}\\
=\;&[x^{\tau'(\alpha)+\tau'(\sigma_1)-\sigma_1},x^{\tau'(\beta)+\tau'(\sigma_1)-\sigma_1}]\\
=\;&(\alpha_1\beta_{\overline{1}}-\alpha_{\overline{1}}\beta_1)x^{\tau'(\alpha)+\tau'(\beta)+2\tau'(\sigma_1)-\sigma_1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.157}$$

Fixing α and comparing the coefficients, since τ' is a group isomorphism, $(\tau'(\beta))_1, (\tau'(\beta))_{\overline{1}}$ must linearly depend on $\beta_1, \beta_{\overline{1}}$. From this we obtain $(\tau'(\sigma_1))_1+1=0=(\tau'(\sigma_1))_{\overline{1}}+1$. In general we can obtain $\tau'(\sigma_1)=\sigma_1$. Hence $\tau'=\tau$. The rest of the proof is exactly the same as before except that we do not have the second equation in (4.90) since $\alpha_0=\beta_0=0$ in (4.97). Thus we have the first case of (4.7). This proves the theorem in this case.

Next assume that $\iota_3=0$. If $\ell_4+\ell_5=0$, there is nothing to be proved (cf. (4.120)). Assume that $\ell_4+\ell_5>0$. Then by Lemma 3.5(3), we have (4.65) for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$. The rest of the proof is again similar as before.

Case 3. $\Gamma_0\neq\{0\}$ and $\Gamma'_0=\{0\}$.

Using Lemma 3.5(1) and (2), as the proof in Case 1, there exists a bijection $\tau:\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}\rightarrow\Gamma'\backslash\Gamma'_{0,3}$ such that $\theta(x^\alpha)\in\mathbb{F}x^{\tau(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_{0,3}$, and there exists bijection $\nu:\widehat{I}_{1,3}\rightarrow I'_{1,3}$ such that $\theta(x^{-\sigma_p})\in\mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma_{\nu(p)}}$ for $p\in\widehat{I}_{1,3}$. Then as proved before, we see that $(\tau(\alpha))_{\nu(0)}$ and $(\tau(\alpha))_{\overline{\nu(0)}}$ only linearly depend on α_0. This is impossible by the second condition of (2.15). Thus this case does not occur. $\Box$

References

[A] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, second edition, Springer-Verlag New York, 1989.

[D] B, Dubrivin, "Geometry of 2D topological field theories" in Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Tenne, 1993), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1020**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, 120-384.

[HM] C. Hertling and Yu. Manin, Weak Frobenius manifolds, *Intern. Math. Res. Notice* (1999), no. 6, 277-280.

[K1] V. G. Kac, A description of filtered Lie algebras whose associated graded Lie algebras are of Cartan types, *Math. of USSR-Izvestijia* **8** (1974), 801-835.

[K2] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977), 8-96.

[K3] V. G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, University lectures series, Vol **10**, AMS. Providence RI, 1996.

[K4] V. G. Kac, Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **139** (1998), 1-55.

[O] J. Marshall Osborn, New simple infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of characteristic 0, *J. Algebra* **185** (1996), 820-835.

[OZ] J. Marshall Osborn and K. Zhao, Generalized Cartan type K Lie algebras in characteristic 0, *Commun. Algebra* **25** (1997), 3325-3360.

[SF] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and Their Representations,* Marcel Derkker, Inc., 1988.

[SXZ] Y. Su, X. Xu and H. Zhang, Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type, *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 642-662.

[X1] X. Xu, New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 23-58.

[X2] X. Xu, Quadratic conformal superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 1-38.